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1 Introduction

Using the bipolar transmitter TDK5116F, TDA7116F or any other transmitter out of this transmitter family requires a proper layout concept to avoid the so called “Load-Pulling Effect”, which slightly shifts the frequency, when switching on or off the power amplifier and to avoid a frequency shift due to back-radiation. Even if the layout requirements are known, there are usually many other requirements and restrictions like predetermined and fixed board size and board shape for instance, which are the reasons that the GND concept usually is by far not optimized for the RF requirements in customer applications. In addition it is not trivial and easy to understand how the current across the different GND sections causes or influences this effect and how to get rid of it.

Since the more restrictive regulation of the “Modulation Bandwidth” of the version 2.3.1 of the “ETSI EN 300 220” of 2010 or newer, the limiting the ASK bandwidth becomes even more important.
2 ASK Modulation Bandwidth (Issue)

As already mentioned in chapter “Introduction”, since the version 2.3.1 of the ETSI EN 300 220 the regulation of the Modulation Bandwidth (Modulation BW) is more restrictive than in previous versions of the ETSI in terms of the now exact and explicit specified and wider resolution bandwidth (RBW), which has to be used for the measurements.

**Figure 1** Modulation BW according to ETSI EN 300 220 Version 2.3.1

Please note: This Figure just shows the upper half of the emission limits, but of course also the lower half of the emission must comply with the limits, which are the mirror image of the upper half limits!

Measurements according to the ETSI EN 300 200 V 2.3.1 on some customer boards show partly rather wide ASK spectrum and reveal a rather strong variation of the spectrum shape when approaching the hand or any other subject influencing the (EM) near field to the antenna (load-pulling, back-radiation).

As can be seen in Figure 2 to Figure 9, the spectrum measured in this board is partly close to the Modulation BW limits according to ETSI EN 300 220 (see Figure 1) or even above the limits, especially when considering the lower level at the probe (path attenuation). In addition the spectrum is partly rather asymmetrical. Furthermore the comparison of Figure 2 with Figure 3 and comparison of Figure 5, Figure 6, Figure 7 and Figure 8 with each other show the dependency of the spectrum on the position, means on the distance, on the direction and on the orientation, of the probe regarding to the antenna.

Figure 2 and Figure 3 show the ASK spectrum - modulated with a 2.4 kHz rectangular signal - from -700 kHz to +700 kHz around the carrier (Span = 1.4 MHz), measured with a RBW of 1 kHz and a VBW of 3 kHz.

*1: Showing the frequency range fe to fe+200 kHz (Limit according to EN 300 220 V 2.3.1: -30 dBm) and fe + 200 kHz to fe+400 kHz (Limit according to EN 300 220 V 2.3.1: -36 dBm). See also Figure 1 and consider also the lower half of the spectrum.
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Figure 2 Measurement 1
Span = 1 kHz & RBW = 1 kHz

Figure 3 Measurement 2
Span = 1 kHz & RBW = 1 kHz

Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the ASK spectrum - modulated with a 2.4 kHz rectangular signal - from -1250 kHz to +1250 kHz around the carrier (Span = 2.5 MHz*2), measured with a RBW of 10 kHz and a VBW of 30 kHz.

Figure 4 Measurement 3
Span = 2.5 MHz & RBW = 10 kHz

Figure 5 Measurement 4
Span = 2.5 MHz & RBW = 10 kHz

*2: Including the frequency range fe+400 kHz to fe+1 MHz (Limit according to EN 300 220 V 2.3.1: -36 dBm @ RBW = 10 kHz). See also Figure 1 and consider also the lower half of the spectrum.
Figure 6, Figure 7, Figure 8 and Figure 9 show the ASK spectrum - modulated with a 2.4 kHz rectangular signal - from -3250 kHz to +3250 kHz around the carrier (Span = 6.5 MHz*3), measured with a RBW of 100 kHz and a VBW of 300 kHz.

*3: Including the frequency range fe+1 MHz and above (Limit according to EN 300 220 V 2.3.1: -36 dBm @ RBW = 100 kHz). See also Figure 1 and consider also the lower half of the spectrum.
3 Findings and Counter Measures

Analysis shows that the high steepness of the rising and/or falling edge and the asymmetry of the data signal relating to the threshold levels of “ASK-modulator” (see Figure 11) enables or “amplifies” the effect of the back-radiation and load pulling, caused by the layout.

Figure 10 shows the ASKDTA input circuit, which explains the typical threshold level of 1.1V.

Figure 10 ASKDTA-pin Input Circuit

Figure 11 Lowpass Filter: Filtered & Un-Filtered Signal vs. Threshold

*4: The data signal is not centered around the logic low and logic high level (threshold) of the ASKDTA-input, consequently the steepness of the rising edge and the steepness of the falling edge are usually significantly different around the threshold level and the steepness of one of these edges (rather the rising edge) are rather high even when using a low-pass filter.
Figure 11 shows a 3V (low level is 0V, high level is 3V) ASK data signal once un-filtered (blue curve) and once filtered by a lowpass filter (red curve) compared to the typical threshold level (green dotted line) and compared to the guaranteed minimum low level\(^5\) (brown dotted line) and maximum high level\(^5\) (light blue dotted line).

As mentioned above the steepness of the filtered ASK data signal, in this case especially of the rising edge, is still very high and asymmetrical compared to the threshold level, means show different steepness at the threshold level, of course depending on the threshold level.

Using a circuit representing a low-pass filter and additionally centering the filtered data signal around the threshold level, independent of the temperature drift of this threshold (see Figure 13), should improve the behavior (and solve this issue). The circuit below represents the realization of the above mentioned characteristics:

![Circuit Diagram](image)

**Figure 12  Low-pass Filter & Centering around Threshold Level independent of Temperature-Drift**

As the DC-level of the ASKDTA input signal is automatically adjusted to the current threshold level, only the distance between the current High-Threshold and the current Low-Threshold has to be considered and consequently the amplitude of the signal on the ASKDTA-pin can be reduced significantly (see Figure 13). So a more soft transition from both on to off and off to on can be realized, which additionally, beside the symmetry, helps to improve the ASK-spectrum.

\*5: The guaranteed minimum low level is the input voltage level on the ASKDTA-pin where the PA is switched off for sure in any case within the specified supply voltatge and temperature range. The guaranteed maximum high level is the input voltage level on the ASKDTA-pin where the PA is switched on for sure in any case within the specified supply voltatge and temperature range.
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Figure 13  Signal-Shaping: Filtered & Un-Filtered Signal vs. Threshold

Please note that this circuit consists of only one more capacitor and two more resistors than a simple ordinary low-pass filter.

3.1 Data Signal: 1.4 V peak-peak / 2.4 kHz

Figure 11 shows the (calculated) transfer function of the circuit realized with the component values indicated below. These values given below are more or less adjusted for a 1.4 V peak-peak rectangular signal with a (fundamental) frequency of 2.4 kHz:

R₁ = 47k
R₂ = not used
R_Dc = 560k
C₁ = 470pF
C_{AC-OUT} = 47nF

Figure 14  Signal Shaping Circuit 1 Transfer Function
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As can be seen at Figure 11, the transfer function of this circuit represents a band-pass characteristic, but with a lower cut-off frequency by far below the assumed (fundamental) frequency of the data signal of 2.4 kHz. The upper cut-off frequency is 10.08 kHz, which is 4.2 times higher than the assumed fundamental of the data signal and consequently yields still sufficiently steep edges for most applications. For some applications even a lower cut-off frequency or a lower ratio between the cut-off frequency and the data rate will be sufficient. The calculation is also considering the input impedance on the ASKDTA-pin.

3.2 Data Signal: 3 V peak-peak / 2.4 kHz

The given values given at 3.1 are more or less optimized for an applied (2.4 kHz) rectangular signal showing a peak-peak amplitude of 1.4V.

For a 2.4 kHz rectangular signal with a peak-peak amplitude of e.g. ~3V the following values are recommended:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 &= 100k \\
R_2 &= 91k \text{ (approaching 88k)} \\
R_{DC} &= 560k \\
C_1 &= 470pF \\
C_{AC-OUT} &= 47nF
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 15  Signal Shaping Circuit 2 Transfer Function
3.3 Alternative circuit

Caution: The circuit shown in Figure 10 works pretty well for balanced codes\(^6\) like Manchester for instance. In case of a very long low or high period the capacitor \(C_{\text{AC-OUT}}\) has to be increased.

Furthermore the values given at 3.1 and 3.2 are more or less optimized for a 2.4 kHz rectangular signal showing an amplitude of \(\sim1.4\ \text{V peak-peak}\) and \(\sim3\text{V peak-peak}\) respectively, but if a battery is used and the data signal depends on the battery voltage, the lowest battery voltage has to be considered. On the other hand a more or less slight extension of the ASK bandwidth at the maximum supply voltage can be caused when adjusting the circuit to the lowest supply (battery) voltage, depending on the difference between the maximum and minimum supply voltage.

An alternative (modified) circuit could be used if an asymmetrical data signal (NRZ) is used or if the “start-up” procedure and behavior e.g. preamble, …, causes an issue. This alternative circuit also regulates the signal level on the ASKDTA-pin - to the proper value - independent of the input signal level and consequently independent on the battery voltage of a battery driven application.

So this alternative circuit can be used in case of an asymmetrical data signal and for regulating the signal on the ASKDTA-pin always to the optimum value, independent of the battery voltage.

\(^6\): The low and high time are equal over a certain (minimum) period of time.
4 Measurement Results

4.1 Frequency range $f_e$ to $f_e + 200$ kHz & $f_e + 200$ kHz to $f_e + 400$ kHz

The measurements shown on the next pages (Figure 13 to Figure 24) in fact clearly proves that this simple signal shaping circuit significantly decreases the ASK-BW and makes it much less sensitive when the hand approaches the antenna, almost independent of the layout and matching.

Figure 13 shows the ASK-spectrum (external rectangular signal applied) of the board without this “signal shaping” circuit from -700 kHz to +700 kHz around the carrier (Span = 1.4 MHz), measured with a RBW of 1 kHz and a VBW of 3 kHz according to the ETSI EN 300 220 (see Figure 1). Figure 14 shows the ASK spectrum of the same board as used for the measurement shown at Figure 13, but with the “signal shaping” circuit under the same conditions.

As can be seen in Figure 13, the spectrum measured without “signal shaping” is partly close to the limits (see Modulation BW Limits according to ETSI EN 300 220 at Figure 1) or even above the limits when considering the lower level at the probe (path attenuation). In addition the spectrum is rather asymmetrical. Contrary the ASK spectrum of the board with “signal shaping” (Figure 14) is by far below the limits (for both $f_e$ to $f_e + 200$ kHz and $f_e + 200$ kHz to $f_e + 400$ kHz; see also Figure 21 and consider also the lower half of the spectrum) and in addition rather symmetrical.
4.2 Frequency range $f_e + 400 \text{ kHz}$ to $f_e + 1 \text{ MHz}$

Figure 15 shows the ASK-spectrum of the board without “signal shaping” with a Span of 2.6 MHz, measured with a RBW of 10 kHz and a VBW of 30 kHz (according to the ETSI EN 300 220). Figure 16 shows the ASK spectrum of the board, but with “signal shaping” under the same conditions.

As can be seen in Figure 15, the spectrum measured without “signal shaping” is partly above the limits (ETSI EN 300 220) and asymmetrically, whereas the ASK spectrum of the board with “signal shaping” (Figure 16) is by far below the limits ($f_e+400$ kHz to $f_e+1$ MHz) and in addition rather symmetrically.
4.3 Frequency range $f_0 + 1$ MHz and above

Figure 17, 19, 21 and 23 show the ASK-spectrum of the board without “signal shaping” with a Span of 6.5 MHz, measured with a RBW of 100 kHz and a VBW of 300 kHz according to the ETSI EN 300 220, with different positions, distances and slightly different orientations of the probe relating to the antenna. Depending on the position, distance and orientation, of course different path attenuation (from the antenna to the probe) appears, resulting in a different level. Comparing the measurement with measurements in a G-TEM cell, the value(s) can be corrected to get the real and proper value(s).

Figure 18, 20, 22 and 24 show the ASK spectrum of the board but with “signal shaping” under the same conditions.
The measurements (Figure 17, 19, 21 and 23) clearly show that the spectrum measured without “signal shaping” is partly by far above the limits (ETSI EN 300 220) and asymmetrical, whereas the ASK spectrum of the board with “signal shaping” is by far below the limits (fe+400 kHz to fe+1 MHz) and in addition rather symmetrical.

Furthermore Figure 17, 19, 21 and 23 show that the spectrum of the board without “signal shaping” changes significantly when changing the position of the probe relative to the antenna, whereas the board with “signal shaping” (Figure 18, 20, 22 and 24) is quite insensitive to the influence of the probe, although the probe was extreme close or even toughing the antenna at the measurement shown at Figure 24.

PS:
Note that instead of the real data signal an external rectangular signal was applied as ASK-data signal, which means even disadvantageous condition / wider spectrum.
5 Conclusion

1. The “signal shaping” circuit significantly reduces the ASK BW and makes it much less sensitive when the hand (or anything else) approaches the antenna.

2. The measurement results are achieved with a cut-off frequency of the signal shaping circuit (10.08 kHz), which is even 4.2 times higher than the frequency of the modulation signal (2.4 kHz). For some applications even a lower ratio between the cut-off frequency and the data rate will be sufficient, which would yield even better results.

3. This improvement is (almost) independent of the layout and matching.

4. Consequently the matching can also be optimized for a low level of the harmonics, like the 2nd and 3rd harmonic.

5. The circuit only consists of 2 capacitors and 2 - 3 resistors, means only one more capacitor and 1 - 2 more resistor(s) than an “ordinary” low-pass filter circuit.