# **Optimized Thermal Layout for CIPOS<sup>TM</sup> Nano Half-Bridge Intelligent Power Modules**

This article discusses considerations for PCB design required to achieve the best thermal performance in a motor drive using CIPOS<sup>TM</sup> Nano half-bridge Intelligent Power Modules (IPM). We present an approach that uses thermal simulations with ANSYS Icepak software to create an optimized thermal layout. We show that the simulations are validated by experimental motor test results through temperature capture via a thermal camera. This paper targets intelligent power module application design engineers and PCB layout engineers who would like to obtain better system thermal designs in their final product.

By Pengwei Sun and Pei Jin, Infineon

### **Thermal Simulation**

In a three-phase motor drive system, three half-bridge IPMs are needed. The placement of the three half-bridge modules on a PCB for optimal thermal performance is of interest to circuit designers. Four different placement configurations are explored. First, we did thermal simulation on each of these configurations. Figure 1 shows the four configurations. In the simulation, we use the same copper area for each power pad, which is 1oz copper, 120mm<sup>2</sup>. To simplify the simulation and exclude other thermal impacts, we ignore peripheral components.



Figure 1: Four configurations of layout

We ran simulations for two conditions of operation. For the light load condition, we assume each CIPOS<sup>™</sup> Nano IPM has a power loss of



Figure 2: Light load and full load simulation results

0.3W, and for full load condition, we assume each CIPOS<sup>™</sup> Nano IPM has a loss of 1W. Table 1 shows the simulation results. Figure 2 shows both the light load and the full load simulation thermal images. From the simulation results we can see that option 2 is the optimal layout, which has the least temperature rise under the same power dissipation. At light load condition, it is 5°C better than the regular layout, option 1. At full load condition, it is around 10°C cooler than option 1.

Case	Total Power Loss (W)	Tj_Max (° <b>C)</b>	Rthja <b>(°C/W)</b>
Option1	3	117.69	30.90
Option2	3	106.70	27.23
Option3	3	108.85	27.95
Option4	3	120.16	31.72
Option1	0.9	57.87	36.53
Option2	0.9	53.51	31.68
Option3	0.9	54.04	32.27
Option4	0.9	58.97	37.74

Table 1: CIPOS™ Nano thermal simulation results

### **Experimental Verification**

Thermal simulation shows that PCB layout of three half-bridge CIPOS<sup>™</sup> Nano IPMs affects thermal performance. We would like to confirm this finding to provide customers guidelines to optimize their PCB design. We made two different PCB layouts, which are option 1 and option 2, and tested them out under the same operating conditions. The results did confirm the findings of the simulations. Figure 3 shows the PCB layout of regular option 1 and the optimal layout, option 2.

The motor drive test conditions are as follows: switching frequency 6 kHz, 2-phase discontinuous SVPWM, DC bus voltage 300V, ambient temperature 25°C, light load operation at 0.5Arms and 115W, and full load operation at 1Arms and 230W. Figure 4 shows the light load thermal images and the full load thermal images. The thermal images prove that option 2 has better performance than option 1: At light load and full load option 2 is 5°C and 8°C cooler, respectively.



Figure 3: PCB layout of option 1 and option 2



Figure 4: Light load and full load thermal images

#### Conclusion

In this article, several layouts have been proposed to see which one offers the best thermal performance when three half-bridge CIPOS<sup>™</sup> Nano IPMs are used. Through both simulation and experiments, option 2 is proved to be the best option with the lowest junction temperature when the same copper area and the same power are applied to each option. On the other hand, option 2 can sustain higher losses or use smaller PCB area if the same junction temperature is required among different designs. In addition, option 2 provides a circular layout which fits very well in applications where the motor drive board is required to be in that shape in order to be better embedded in the motor casing.

## www.infineon.com