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About this document

Scope and purpose
Due to the worldwide increase in power consumption it is necessary to design power supplies that offer the highest possible efficiency during standard operating conditions. This application note will first give an overview covering the technological parameters of Infineon’s first 650 V SiC trench MOSFET. Second, it will provide benchmarking results based on characterization data, and in target applications against competitors. Finally, it will provide design guidelines for implementing SiC in the target applications.

Intended audience
SMPS designers, technology developers.
CoolSiC™ MOSFET 650 V M1 trench power device
Infineon’s first 650 V silicon carbide MOSFET for industrial applications

Table of contents

About this document ................................................................................................................................................. 1
Table of contents .......................................................................................................................................................... 2

1 Introduction ............................................................................................................................................................ 3

2 Overview of CoolSiC™ M1 SiC trench power device ......................................................................................... 4
  2.1 Positioning ....................................................................................................................................................... 4
  2.2 Target applications ......................................................................................................................................... 5
  2.3 Focus topologies ............................................................................................................................................ 6
  2.3.1 CCM totem pole PFC (or representative for any hard-switching bridge configuration) ......................... 7
  2.3.2 LLC (or representative for any resonant switching bridge configuration) ............................................. 9

3 Technology parameters ........................................................................................................................................... 10
  3.1 $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ junction over-temperature ........................................................................................................... 10
  3.2 $Q_r$ – reverse recovery charge .................................................................................................................... 11
  3.3 $V_{\text{BRDSS}}$ – drain-source breakdown voltage ........................................................................................... 12
  3.4 Transfer characteristics .................................................................................................................................. 13
  3.5 $C_{\text{oss}}$ – output capacitance ....................................................................................................................... 14
  3.6 $E_{\text{oss}}$ – energy stored in output capacitance/ $Q_{\text{oss}}$ – charge in the output capacitance .................. 16
  3.7 $V_{\text{SD}}$ ($V_s$) – forward voltage of the body diode .......................................................................................... 18
  3.8 $Q_g$ – gate charge ........................................................................................................................................... 19

4 Gate driving guidelines ............................................................................................................................................ 21
  4.1 On-state gate voltage $V_{\text{GS(on)}}$ .................................................................................................................. 21
  4.2 Off-state gate voltage $V_{\text{GS(off)}}$ .................................................................................................................. 22
  4.2.1 Crosstalk mitigation ..................................................................................................................................... 22
  4.2.2 Improving long-term performance ........................................................................................................... 24
  4.3 Advantages of $V_{\text{GS(off)}} = 0$ ...................................................................................................................... 25
  4.4 Kelvin source configuration .......................................................................................................................... 27

5 Benchmarking in target applications ................................................................................................................... 28
  5.1 Switching energies ($E_{\text{on}}$ and $E_{\text{off}}$) ....................................................................................................... 28
  5.2 3.3 kW CCM totem pole PFC ......................................................................................................................... 31
  5.3 3 kW LLC converter ........................................................................................................................................... 34

6 Summary ............................................................................................................................................................... 37

7 References ............................................................................................................................................................. 38

Revision history .......................................................................................................................................................... 39
1 Introduction

The CoolSiC™ MOSFET 650 V M1 trench power device is Infineon’s first generation of SiC trench MOSFETs. It is designed to address the needs of power supplies in the range from several hundred watts to tens of kilowatts, including server and telecom SMPS, solar inverters and EV charging. These applications, considering the overall Total Cost of Ownership (TCO), benefit from power semiconductors and topology that serves high switching frequencies, enabling fast switching under hard-switching conditions and providing low conduction losses as well as a small output and reverse recovery charge ($Q_{rr}$).

This technology enables highly efficient topologies such as the full-bridge totem pole PFC, which is by nature a bridgeless topology and therefore boosts efficiency in all load points. It can achieve peak efficiency of more than 99 percent. This high PFC efficiency is the minimum needed in order to achieve an overall system efficiency of more than 98 percent.
2 Overview of CoolSiC™ M1 SiC trench power device

2.1 Positioning

Due to the CoolSiC™ M1 technology parameters, which will be explained later, nearly every application/topology can benefit from it in terms of increased efficiency. Nevertheless, due to the body diode behavior and the linear output capacitance the main focus is the hard-switching topologies, which have a hard commutation on a conducting body diode in every switching cycle, e.g., in a CCM totem pole PFC, and resonant topologies like an LLC converter.

Infineon Technologies is offering Si-, SiC- and GaN-based power products. This gives customers the chance to cover the whole power transmission line from AC to DC and vice versa. Next it is necessary to explain the positioning of these three technologies.

![Positioning of Infineon technologies for HV power switches](image)

**Figure 1** Positioning of Infineon technologies for HV power switches

As can be seen in the positioning diagram in Figure 1, Si power transistors will remain the mainstream technology in the next few years, covering a wide range of possible applications with adequate power and frequency possibilities. SiC, on the other hand, complements Si in many applications but also enables new solutions and topologies for higher-power and robust applications. GaN is able to achieve the highest efficiency and by nature has the best figure of merit (FOM) for achieving the highest switching frequency.
Infineon recommends the different technologies as follows:

CoolMOS™:
- Maintaining cost/performance benefit across a wide range of applications
- High efficiency (up to 97 percent) for certain power density limitations
- Easy design-in
- PFC topologies and resonant topologies covering switching frequency from 45 kHz to 300 kHz
- Short evaluation times and plenty of experience using silicon in PSU

CoolGaN™:
- Top efficiency and density: best FOM efficiency x power density
- Best for maintaining high efficiency while increasing frequency
- Totem pole PFC and any hard and resonant switching topology operating at higher frequencies
- Daughter card/SMD optimized design approach

CoolSiC™:
- High efficiency and density: applications where high power is combined with high-temperature operating conditions
- Totem pole PFC and any hard and resonant switching topology
- Robustness

There is a clear overlap between the three technologies, and the selection of the right technology is heavily dependent on the application requirements, as all three technologies have a specific value proposition in the 600 V/650 V segment.

The next section of this chapter will explain the target applications and the target topologies that can benefit from SiC.

2.2 Target applications

With SiC MOSFETs it is possible to easily achieve the high efficiency necessary for customers in order to reduce the overall power consumption, which is dramatically increased by server, telecom and EV charging applications.

Now the question arises: why should CoolSiC™ be used in the applications mentioned? This is answered in the next section.
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- Energy efficiency
- Cost savings (BOM, TCO)
- Design simplification
- Reliability

ICT – servers and telecom

- Energy efficiency
- High frequency designs
- Design simplification
- Robustness and reliability

UPS

- Robustness
- Ease of use
- System simplification
- Energy efficiency
- High current operations
- Bi-direction charging enablement

EV charging

- Power density and weight
- Energy efficiency
- Robustness and reliability
- High temperature operations
- Bi-directional switch enablement

Solar PV inverters

- Increase performance
- Lower cooling effort
- Robustness
- High power density
- Lower system cost

Variable speed drives

In all of these applications SiC gives a high value proposition if a topology uses the internal body diode for short usage or for body diode operation in switching frequency of more than 8 kHz.

One of these topologies is the Continuous Conduction Mode (CCM) totem pole PFC, which is a bridgeless topology and by nature suitable for bi-directional operation, including highest possible efficiency compared to nearly all available PFC topologies.

2.3 Focus topologies

Standard server and telecom applications consist of two stages: first the AC-DC conversion, and second a DC-DC conversion as represented in the following image, based on a full-bridge CCM totem pole PFC and an LLC converter.

Figure 2 Target applications for CoolSiC™

Figure 3 Example SMPS
2.3.1 CCM totem pole PFC (or representative for any hard-switching bridge configuration)

The principle of operation of the CCM totem pole PFC is simplified and divided into four phases over one AC cycle – two phases for the positive and two for the negative cycle of the input voltage.

Positive AC-line cycle:

The low-ohmic superjunction (SJ) MOSFET (SJ2) is continuously conducting. During the magnetizing phase the SiC MOSFET (SiC2) is turned on and operates as in a standard PFC, which is necessary in order to magnetize the PFC choke. After SiC2 is turned off, the body diode of SiC1 is conducting and finally actively turning on SiC1, and the demagnetizing phase starts. During this time SiC1 acts as a synchronous boost. At exactly the same time as the synchronous boost turns off, there is a short period in which the body diode of SiC1 is conducting again and SiC2 is actively turned on, which leads to a hard commutation on the conducting body diode. This means this hard commutation is present in every switching cycle on one of the SiC MOSFETs, and therefore the switching energy and the losses increase during this turn-on based on the Q\textsubscript{rr} and the Q\textsubscript{oss}.

![Diagram of CCM totem pole PFC](image)

Figure 4  Operating principle of positive AC-line cycle
Negative AC-line cycle:

The negative AC-line cycle operation is exactly the same as the inverted positive AC-line cycle. In this case the low-ohmic SJ MOSFET (SJ1) is continuously conducting. During the magnetizing phase the SiC MOSFET (SiC1) is turned on and operates as in a standard PFC, which is necessary in order to magnetize the PFC choke. After SiC1 is turned off, the body diode of SiC2 is conducting and finally actively turning on SiC2, and the demagnetizing phase starts. During this time SiC2 acts as a synchronous boost. As can be seen in this topology, the already mentioned lowest possible $Q_{rr}$ and $Q_{oss}$ are required, as during every switching cycle there is a hard commutation on the conducting body diode.

For this reason, the SiC MOSFET and its related technology parameters are the optimum choice to easily achieve 99 percent efficiency.

Figure 5      Operating principle of negative AC-line cycle
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2.3.2 LLC (or representative for any resonant switching bridge configuration)

Due to the low $Q_{rr}$ and $Q_{oss}$, CoolSiC™ can give the system on the one hand increased system reliability in abnormal operating conditions, and fast changes in switching frequency due to, e.g., load jumps, and on the other hand higher efficiency and the possibility to boost the switching frequency due to easily achievable full Zero Voltage Switching (ZVS) under any load condition and/or reduction of the resonant current in order to achieve full ZVS operation.

The next chapter describes the CoolSiC™ technology parameters compared to the most well-known technology, CFD7, before looking at the results in the application testing.
3 Technology parameters

This chapter will describe the most important technology parameters and give general recommendations for using CoolSiC™.

3.1 \( R_{DS(on)} \) junction over-temperature

One of the most important benefits of CoolSiC™ describes the thermal characteristics of the on-state resistance. In all device datasheets the typical \( R_{DS(on)} \) is represented at 25°C junction temperature. Usually the devices operate at higher junction temperatures, so it is also necessary to know the \( R_{DS(on)} \) at higher temperatures, for example at 100°C.

The junction temperature can be seen on the X-axis, and on the Y-axis the normalized \( R_{DS(on)} \) is visible. The gray line correlates to the CoolMOS™ and the blue line to the CoolSiC™ devices. It is shown that at 25°C the \( R_{DS(on)} \) is the same for CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™. This 25°C value is represented in the datasheets and in the naming convention.

![Normalized \( R_{DS(on)} @ T_J \) variation](image)

**Figure 6** Normalized \( R_{DS(on)} \) over-temperature comparison between CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™

The multiplication factor from 25°C to 100°C to the \( R_{DS(on)} \) is 1.67 for CoolMOS™ and 1.13 for CoolSiC™. This means that in order to have the same conduction losses \( (P_{cond} = I^2 \cdot R_{DS(on)}(T_J)) \) of CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™ it is possible to design-in a higher \( R_{DS(on)} \) for CoolSiC™. Ideally there is the potential for CoolSiC™ 84 mΩ to have the same conduction losses as a 57 mΩ CoolMOS™ at 100°C. Beside of the same conduction losses, CoolSiC™ also enables lower switching losses and lower cost.
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### 3.2 \(Q_{rr} – \) reverse recovery charge

As already anticipated, the reverse recovery charge \((Q_{rr})\) is one of the most important parameters for any resonant topology, or any topology that has a continuous hard commutation on a conducting body diode. Such a topology is the already explained CCM totem pole PFC. The \(Q_{rr}\) is the charge that needs to be removed from the body diode after it is conducting. In the diagram the \(Q_{rr}\) is shown as the area below the 0 A line.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7** Simplified explanation for \(Q_{rr}\) measurement

CoolMOS™ has already found ways to improve or reduce the \(Q_{rr}\) of the body diode, which came out as a fast diode device like the CFD or CFD7. Nevertheless, this charge is still too much in order to achieve high efficiency values in the CCM totem pole PFC, but now the CoolSiC™ can be used. CoolSiC™ has 10 times lower charge than the best fast diode SJ MOSFET available on the market.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8** \(Q_{rr}\) comparison between CoolMOS™, CoolMOS™ fast diode and CoolSiC™
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It is only because of this behavior that CoolSiC™ is able to achieve more than 99 percent peak efficiency in the PFC stage.

3.3 \( V_{(BR)DSS} \) - drain-source breakdown voltage

In general the behavior of the breakdown voltage from CoolSiC™ and CoolMOS™ is equal; the main difference is that CoolSiC™ has a lower slope, as can be seen in Figure 9, in which the X-axis corresponds to the junction temperature and the Y-axis represents the breakdown. One very important difference is that at lower temperatures the breakdown voltage is higher than compared to CoolMOS™, which is beneficial for customers for outdoor applications or applications that start up at lower temperatures. CoolSiC™ has a rated breakdown voltage of 650 V at 25°C for the whole portfolio.

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9** \( V_{(BR)DSS} \) comparison between CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™

It is never recommended to apply voltages above the rated breakdown voltage even during switching transients and abnormal operation conditions.
3.4 Transfer characteristics

This technology parameter is very important as it describes how much current can be transferred via the channel and the dependency on the gate-source voltage.

Figure 10  Transfer characteristics comparison between CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™ at $V_{gs} = 20$ V; $T_J = 25^\circ$C (upper) and $T_J = 150^\circ$C (lower)
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In these two graphs the X-axis describes the gate-source voltage and the Y-axis the transferable drain current. The straight gray line represents the CoolMOS™ and the straight blue line the CoolSiC™ device. The dashed lines always represent the different $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ classes of CoolSiC™. The additional lines are included in all technology parameters due to the $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ over-temperature behavior. The upper graph shows the transfer characteristics at 25°C and the lower graph shows them at 150°C.

As can be seen, the transfer characteristics here also follow the same basic principles. Nevertheless, CoolSiC™ shows up to 20 V gate-source voltage with no saturation, unlike CoolMOS™, which saturates at around 10 V. It is also clear to see why for CoolSiC™ the 18 V driving voltage is recommended in order to enable driving the current required by the application. This does not mean that CoolSiC™ cannot be driven with lower gate-source voltage – it absolutely can – but customers need to consider which drain current they need in their application and not operate it in linear mode.

Another very important benefit of CoolSiC™ is again visible due to the $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ over-temperature behavior; the transfer characteristics show nearly no impact at 150°C. This means that at 25°C ideally CoolMOS™ can transfer higher currents, but the higher the temperature gets the worse is the behavior of CoolMOS™ in comparison to CoolSiC™.

### 3.5 $C_{\text{oss}}$ – output capacitance

The output capacitance for both technologies as it is a very important indicator with respect to switching speed and EMI behavior. In this graph it is visualized that CoolMOS™ CFD7 offers much lower output capacitance than CoolSiC™ with the same typical $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ at 25°C above 50 V drain-source voltage.
This indicates that CoolMOS™ has the capability to offer lower $E_{oss}$, which is the energy stored in the output capacitance that needs to be dissipated during a non-zero-voltage turn-on, allowing for higher switching speed and lower switching losses. However, lower output capacitance at higher voltages may lead to a higher sensitivity of PCB behavior and design parasitics, including drain-source voltage overshoots during turn-off, resulting in higher $R_o$ usage for CoolMOS™. At voltages below 50 V drain-source voltage, CoolSiC™ offers lower capacitances than CoolMOS™, therefore representing a much more linear output capacitance behavior. A higher output capacitance at higher voltages does not directly mean there is no benefit from this capacitance.

The benefit of a higher $C_{oss}$ at higher voltages is directly interlinked with the “ease of use” in target applications and a direct indicator for the switching losses. In Figure 12 a comparison in the same 3 kW LLC converter during start-up is shown. The marked waveform is the drain-source voltage peak. It can be clearly seen that due to the higher output capacitance the CoolSiC™ does not need to be manually slowed down via the external gate resistor in order to stay within de-rating guidelines of 80 percent $V_{DS,\text{max}}$.  

Figure 11  Typical $C_{oss}$ of CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™
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![Image of CoolSiC™ MOSFETs]

- $R_{G,\text{ext}} = 47 \, \Omega$
- $V_{\text{DS, max}} = 468 \, V$

- $R_{G,\text{ext}} = 0 \, \Omega$
- $V_{\text{DS, max}} = 472 \, V$

Figure 12  
$V_{\text{DS}}$ overshoot during start-up in 3 kW LLC converter

Typically, customers are used to 80 percent de-rating on the drain source voltage, which means that the maximum allowed drain-source voltage peak is 80 percent of the rated blocking voltage in the datasheets. In order to have this de-rating guideline applied it is necessary to manually slow down the switching speed of the CoolMOS™ with an external turn-on gate resistor of 47 $\Omega$. Meanwhile, on the right-hand side the external gate resistor for CoolSiC™ is adjusted to 0 $\Omega$ while still having more than 80 percent de-rating. This external gate resistor has a big impact on the switching losses during turn-off, especially if the turn-off current is increased.

As result CoolSiC™ offers much greater ease-of-use with respect to voltage peaks.

Based on the $C_{\text{o ss}}$ the next two parameters can be directly derived from this parameter.

### 3.6 $E_{\text{o ss}} –$ energy stored in output capacitance

$Q_{\text{oss}} –$ charge in the output capacitance

The $E_{\text{oss}}$ is derived by:

$$E_{\text{oss}} = \int_{0}^{400 \, V} C_{\text{oss}} \cdot V \, dV$$

and the $Q_{\text{oss}}$ by:

$$Q_{\text{oss}} = \int_{0}^{400 \, V} C_{\text{oss}} \cdot \frac{dV}{dt} \, dt$$

The following diagram illustrates the $E_{\text{oss}}$ in $\mu J$ on the Y-axis and the related drain-source voltage on the X-axis. Due to the higher output capacitance at voltages above 50 V also the related the energy stored in the output capacitance which needs to be dissipated during a non-zero-voltage turn-on is 400 V higher than the CoolMOS™ counterpart compared to the same typical $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$. This leads to the assumption that the hard-switching turn-on losses at 400 V bulk voltage are around 1.5 times higher than CoolMOS™. Despite this fact, the $E_{\text{oss}}$ is much smaller than the real turn-on losses in a CCM totem pole PFC, as this also depends on the $Q_{\text{oss}}$ and the $Q_{rr}$ of the...
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devices. It can also be seen that using the $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ over-temperature benefit from CoolSiC™ and comparing the 72 mΩ against the CoolMOS™ counterpart the $E_{\text{oss}}$ drawback is once again on the same level.

![Figure 13](image)

**Figure 13** $E_{\text{oss}}$ comparison between CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™

As already anticipated, CoolSiC™ can be used in the high-efficiency CCM totem pole PFC, while CoolMOS™ cannot. This technology parameter is one key parameter which makes this possible. During hard commutation on a conducting body diode the $Q_{\text{oss}}$ also needs to be discharged accordingly, leading to additional losses. In this case the CoolSiC™ offers around 75 percent lower $Q_{\text{oss}}$ at 400 V.
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![Graph showing Q_{oss} comparison between CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™](image)

**Figure 14 Q_{oss} comparison between CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™**

This difference arises from the linear output capacitance of CoolSiC™. Especially in the region of less than 50 V drain-source voltage the CoolMOS™ has one or two orders of magnitude higher C_{oss}, resulting in a big step of the Q_{oss}. The Q_{oss} is also related in resonant topologies that define the current and time, which is necessary in order to achieve full ZVS operation. As the charge is described by current multiplied by time designers can reduce either the necessary recirculating current or the time resulting on one side on the reduced losses, and on the other side there is the possibility to increase switching frequency.

There is one drawback for CoolSiC™ related to the lower Q_{oss} and the body diode, which will be described in the following section.

### 3.7 \( V_{SD} (V_F) \) – forward voltage of the body diode

As already visible in the \( Q_r \) analysis, the body diode of CoolSiC™ shows ruggedness never seen before in any silicon counterpart. Nevertheless, the forward voltage is around four times higher than the body diode of a CoolMOS™.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CoolSiC™</th>
<th>CoolMOS™</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{F,\text{typical at } I_F = 15 , \text{A and } 25^\circ\text{C}}$</td>
<td>3.6 V</td>
<td>1 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This leads to the fact, that if customers intend to use the CoolSiC™ in a plug-and-play scenario without adapting or reducing the body diode conduction time, the body diode conduction losses will be around four times higher at the same diode current. This can impact the light load efficiency in an LLC converter depending on the output power up to 0.5 percent, which will be described in the benchmark section of this application note. A very important point is also that in order to achieve the highest possible peak efficiency in a CCM totem pole PFC, it is necessary to boost via the channel and not the body diode.

### 3.8 $Q_G$ – gate charge

The final technology parameter is the gate charge. The gate charge is an indicator of how quickly a device can be turned on and off. Furthermore, it describes the charge needed in order to fully activate the device, resulting in an indicator for switching losses.

The diagram shows the gate charge on the X-axis and the gate-source voltage on the Y-axis. In this comparison it can be seen that the CoolSiC™ has around 50 percent lower gate charge in order to turn on the device with the same typical $R_{DS(\text{on})}$.

![Qg comparison between CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™](image)

CoolMOS™ has a clear plateau visible, which is the so-called Miller plateau derived from the Miller capacitance, also known as gate-drain capacitance $C_{gd}$. CoolSiC™ does not show a plateau like CoolMOS™, which is the so-called Drain Induced Barrier Lowering (DIBL) where the electric field from the drain of the chip starts to act on the channel by depleting it. In the DIBL $V_{GS(th)}$ decreases with increasing drain-source voltage.

$C_{gs}$ is still being charged during this Miller plateau. With the opening channel, e.g., decreasing $V_{gs}$, more and more charges in the channel have to be controlled by the gate, so they contribute to charging the $C_{gs}$. In long-
channel devices such as the CoolMOS™ the plateau is flat, because all charges in the channel are independent of the \( V_{DS} \); for example, they are always completely controlled by the gate electrode. So once the channel is open, the gate electrode must provide charges for \( C_{GD} \) (which is changing because of the drift zone filling with mobile carriers), but not for \( C_{GS} \), hence the plateau.

Now that the technology differences are known, the following section will focus on some application-related guidelines and also some application benchmarks.
4 Gate driving guidelines

In order to leverage the maximum long-term performance of CoolSiC™, special attention should be paid when selecting the on-state gate voltage $V_{GS(on)}$ and the off-state gate voltage $V_{GS(0ff)}$.

4.1 On-state gate voltage $V_{GS(on)}$

The $R_{DS(on)}$ of 650 V CoolSiC™ is the sum of the channel resistance ($R_{ch}$), the junction-field-effect-transistor resistance ($R_{JFET}$), the epitaxial layer resistance of the drift region ($R_{epi}$) and the resistance of the highly doped SiC substrate ($R_{sub}$).

$$R_{DS(on)} = R_{ch} + R_{JFET} + R_{epi} + R_{sub}$$

Where the channel resistance $R_{ch}$ (see equation below) has a higher contribution to the overall $R_{DS(on)}$:

$$R_{ch} = \frac{L}{W \mu_n C_{ox} (V_{GS(on)} - V_{GS(th)})}$$

where $L$ is the length of the channel, $W$ is the width of the channel, $\mu_n$ is the free electron mobility, $C_{ox}$ is the gate oxide capacitance, $V_{GS(on)}$ is the positive on-state gate voltage and $V_{GS(th)}$ is the threshold voltage of the device.

Infineon recommends a $V_{GS(on)} = 18$ V as it enables to minimize the $R_{DS(on)}$ and as a consequence also conduction losses. 650 V CoolSiC™ can also be driven at lower voltages like CoolMOS™ (e.g., 15 V); nevertheless, the $R_{DS(on)}$ will have a negative effect when operating in this condition.

Figure 16 shows the $R_{DS(on)}$ dependency of the gate voltage. On the Y-axis the $R_{DS(on)}$ is represented in absolute values. The X-axis shows the junction temperature. Comparing the $R_{DS(on)}$ with the 15 V gate-source voltage (red line) with the 18 V gate-source voltage (turquoise line) at 60°C, one can see that the $R_{DS(on)}$ changes from 34 mΩ to 28 mΩ, which can have a significant impact, especially under full-load condition.
4.2 Off-state gate voltage $V_{GS(\text{off})}$

Two criteria must be considered for the selection of $V_{GS(\text{off})}$:

- Crosstalk mitigation
- Long-term performance

4.2.1 Crosstalk mitigation

In a half-bridge, the unwanted $V_{GS}$ overshoot and $V_{GS}$ undershoot generated in a device in off-state during the turn-on and turn-off, respectively of the complementary device, is known in literature as crosstalk.

Moreover, the aforementioned term also refers, in case of 3-pin devices, to the unwanted $V_{GS}$ undershoot generated in one device in off-state during the turn-off of the complementary devices due to the coupling of the gate and power loop.

$V_{GS}$ overshoot mitigation

In a half-bridge configuration, the high $dV_{DS}/dt$ during the hard-switching (HS) turn-on of either the high-side or the low-side device induces a Miller current ($I_{\text{miller}}$) and consequently a $V_{GS}$ overshoot in the complementary device (see Figure 17).

If $V_{GS}$ overshoot is greater than $V_{th}$, a parasitic turn-on of the complementary device will be induced, causing additional losses in both devices, in the best-case scenario; or a fatal failure in the worst-case scenario. On the other hand, if $V_{GS}$ overshoot is less than $V_{th}$, no parasitic turn-on will be present, therefore no additional losses will appear.

![Figure 17](image)

HS turn-on of the high-side CoolSiC™ device, while the low-side device is in off-stage in the totem pole PFC

The $V_{GS}$ overshoot is a function of the gate loop inductance $L_G$, the $dV_{DS}/dt$, the $C_{\text{rss}}/C_{\text{iss}}$ ratio and the $V_{GS(\text{off})}$ value.
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Thanks to the best-in-class $C_{\text{rss}}/C_{\text{iss}}$ ratio (i.e., the lowest value) combined with $V_{\text{th}} = 4.5$ V, the 650 V CoolSiC™ devices can be driven with $V_{GSOFF} = 0$ V, presenting either non or negligibly small parasitic turn-on, while achieving optimum performance in terms of switching times and losses – contrary to other 650 V - 750 V vendors that require a negative voltage to tackle parasitic turn-on, also known in literature as cross-conduction. In order to leverage the maximum performance, the gate loop inductance has to be minimized and the turn-on gate resistor value $R_{g,\text{on}}$ has to be fine-tuned to achieve a maximum $V_{GS}$ overshoot $\approx V_{\text{th}}$.

**$V_{GS}$ undershoot mitigation**

In a half-bridge configuration, the high $dV/dt$ during the zero voltage switching (ZVS) turn-off of either the high-side or the low-side device induces a Miller current ($I_{\text{miller}}$) and consequently a $V_{GS}$ undershoot in the complementary device (see Figure 17).

The undershoot value must be greater than the $V_{GS\text{(transient) min.}}$ value declared in the datasheet and if possible, as will be explained in the next subsection, within the operation range declared in the datasheet.

![ZVS turn-off of the high-side CoolSiC™ device, while the low-side device is in off-state in the totem pole PFC](image)

Similar to the $V_{GS}$ overshoot, the $V_{GS}$ undershoot is a function of the gate loop inductance, the $dV_{GS}/dt$, the $C_{\text{rss}}/C_{\text{iss}}$ ratio and the $V_{GSOFF}$ value.

**Worst-case scenario**

The positive and negative $dV_{DS}/dt$ that generate the $I_{\text{miller}}$ depend on the inductor current value during the rise or fall of the $V_{DS}$ voltage and the value of the equivalent capacitance formed by the parallel of the $C_{\text{iss}}$ of the high-side- and low-side device and the equivalent parasitic capacitances that arise, for example, from the PCB tracks. Therefore, one has to control the $V_{GS}$ overshoot and $V_{GS}$ undershoot value when the inductor connected...
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to the middle point of the half-bridge experiences the maximum absolute current values. However, it is always recommendable to control the $V_{GS}$ overshoot and $V_{GS}$ undershoot for the whole inductor current operation range.

Finally, special attention has to be paid when using 3-pin devices, given that a high $dl/dt$ during commutation of one of the MOSFETs can induce gate source voltage spikes in the complementary device due to the coupling between the gate and power loop in the source inductance of the aforementioned device.

4.2.2 Improving long-term performance

Due to the intrinsic properties of the gate-oxide dielectric, the threshold voltage of SiC MOSFET devices may undergo a drift triggered by the switching events (turn-on and turn-off of the device). This additional component can only be identified in long-term switching tests. Based on the current knowledge, the effect is related to gate-oxide trap dynamics. More details will be discussed in the upcoming scientific papers. This effect is a general characteristic of the current SiC MOSFET technologies as related internal studies have shown.

It is not limited to Infineon 650 V CoolSiC™ MOSFET devices.

Please note that the basic function of the device is not affected, in particular:

- The blocking capability
- The reliability level of the devices, e.g., cosmic radiation robustness, humidity ruggedness, etc.
- The $V_{GS(th)}$ drift has a negligible effect on the total switching losses

Key parameters that influence the switching-induced $V_{GS(th)}$ drift include:

- The number of switching events, which translates into switching frequency and total operation time
- Gate drive voltage, mainly $V_{GS(off)}$
- The overshoot and undershoot of the gate-source terminal directly at the chip

The following operation parameters were found to have minor or negligible impact on the switching-induced $V_{GS(th)}$ drift:

- Junction temperature ($T_J$)
- Switching slopes ($dv/dt$ and $di/dt$)
- Drain-source voltage
- Drain current

The $R_{DS(on)}$ variation caused by switching events with negative gate voltage turn-off ($V_{GS(off)}$) is more pronounced in 650 V CoolSiC™ MOSFET devices compared to the higher breakdown voltage devices (e.g., 1200 V CoolSiC™ MOSFET), given that the channel resistance $R_{ch}$ has a higher contribution to the overall $R_{DS(on)}$. However, the $R_{DS(on)}$ variation can be reduced to a smaller variation margin by operating the device within the gate source voltage operating range specified in the product datasheet.

In order to extend the negative driving capabilities of 650 V CoolSiC™ MOSFET devices, several tests under various conditions are currently ongoing (i.e., at the time of publication of this revision). The ongoing tests follow the methodology presented in [1].

Figure 19 is derived from the preliminary results to assess the worst-case end-of-mission profile (EoMP) $R_{DS(on)}$ in a simple way. Applications operating below the maximum limits given in the product datasheet may exhibit even lower $R_{DS(on)}$ – drift values for the same number of switching cycles.
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Note: For the latest information, the most recent version of this application note has to be consulted. The application note can be found on the Infineon website.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 19** Relative $R_{DS(on)}$ change at $V_{GS(on)} = 18$ V, $T_j = 25°C$, 100°C and 175°C based on preliminary results

How to use this information is explained in the following example:

- Targeted lifetime [years]: 10
- Real operation time [percent]: 50
- Real operation time [s]: 1.577 E8
- Switching frequency [kHz]: 65
- Number of cycles at end of life: operation time $\times$ switching frequency $= 1.025 \times 10^{13}$

According to Figure 19, for a turn-on voltage of 18 V, an $R_{DS(on)}$ change of 11.5 percent at 100°C and 8.3 percent at 175°C can be expected.

### 4.3 Advantages of $V_{GS(off)} = 0$

From a long-term performance perspective, $V_{GS(off)} = 0$ V represents an advantage. Considering 650 V – 750 V industrial SiC MOSFETs, the negative undershoot could hinder the switching time of the device since the turn-off $dv/dt$ and $di/dt$ (in case of 3-pin devices) have to be limited to ensure that $V_{GS}$ undershoot is greater than the $V_{GS\text{, transient}}$ min. value given in the datasheet. However, for 650 V CoolSiC™ SiC MOSFETs, the possibility of utilizing unipolar driving (i.e., $V_{GS\text{(off)} fixation}$) 0 V) allows to overcome this limitation by using a diode clamp to eliminate the negative undershoot.

**Note:** It is very important that the diode is located close to the power device.
For the 3-pin devices, the clamp diode should be placed as close as possible to the source of the device to minimize the source impedance in the gate loop.

Figure 21  Diode clamp recommendation for standard and Kelvin source connection
4.4 Kelvin source configuration

Infineon Technologies has been offering products with Kelvin source connection for years, as Infineon wants to ensure the best efficiency and performance in customer applications. Figure 22 shows the impact of the Kelvin source with respect to the switching losses $E_{on}$ and $E_{off}$ on the Y-axis over the drain current on the X-axis. Especially in a totem pole configuration, the $E_{on}$ values are the point of interest.

![Figure 22 Comparison of switching energies of standard and Kelvin source configuration](image)

In a 3.3 kW totem pole PFC at a 90-degree phase angle, the turn-off current reaches around 25 A to 30 A. As can be seen, the $E_{on}$ losses of this device are heavily dependent on the drain current. The higher the current, the greater the benefit for the designer with respect to switching losses. Assuming an average current of 13 A at 3.3 kW over the AC cycle and 65 kHz switching frequency, this results in 3.9 W, which additionally has to be dissipated by one MOSFET; only due to switching losses.

As the overall CoolSiC™ technology is very similar to CoolMOS™ with respect to usage, all other restrictions are valid for both technologies and are therefore not described further in this document.
5 Benchmarking in target applications

As already anticipated this application note will first start to show $E_{\text{on}}$ and $E_{\text{off}}$ measurements of CoolSiC™ for the different $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ classes. It is always very important to mention the $E_{\text{on}}$ and $E_{\text{off}}$ values, which are heavily dependent on the measurement setup due to parasitic inductances, coupling capacitors, gate-drive loop, operating conditions and more. Therefore, these values are not implemented in CoolSiC™ datasheets, as the values probably do not represent the behavior in end customer designs.

5.1 Switching energies ($E_{\text{on}}$ and $E_{\text{off}}$)

The represented data is measured in the following setup:

![Test setup for $E_{\text{on}}$ and $E_{\text{off}}$ measurements (double-pulse setup)](image)

As can be seen, the $E_{\text{on}}$ values include the losses related to the hard commutation on a conducting body diode, which represents the standard operation in a CCM totem pole PFC. For further information related to the measurement setup, please see application note AN2017-44.

With this test setup it is possible to test IGBT and CoolSiC™ up to 900 V bulk voltage, and it is also suitable for 400 V bulk voltage and the assessment of switching losses of the 650 V CoolSiC™.

The results represented will show the 27 mΩ, 48 mΩ and 72 mΩ typical $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$, launched in February 2020.
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Figure 24  Typical switching energies for IMZA65R027M1H
Figure 25  Typical switching energies for IMZA65R048M1H
**5.2 3.3 kW CCM totem pole PFC**

The CCM totem pole PFC is the highest-efficiency bridgeless topology, achieving efficiency higher than 99 percent peak. 99 percent efficiency is necessary to achieve system efficiency of an SMPS of above 98 percent. This power supply is a 3.3 kW server power supply, a full Infineon solution using the IMZA6R048M1H as boost stage including 600 V CoolMOS™ C7 17 mΩ devices as grid rectifier, Infineon 18 V auxiliary bias supply, XMC1404 and more. The input voltage is from 176 V AC to 360 V AC, fully capable of 3.3 kW output power at 400 V output voltage and a switching frequency of 65 kHz. This board can also be equipped with the newest static switch from Infineon technologies, the 600 V CoolMOS™ S7.
Figure 27  3.3 kW CCM totem pole PFC

Figure 28 shows the absolute efficiency over the output power at 230 V AC input voltage and 25°C ambient temperature, which was possible to achieve with the IMZA65R048M1H. The gray line corresponds to the IMZA65R048M1H, which has more than 99 percent from 20 percent to 50 percent of load. Even with the IMZA65R072M1H it is possible to achieve 99 percent peak efficiency and full capability to deliver the output power down to 176 V AC. Also, the IMZA65R107M1H is able to come close to 99 percent peak efficiency and can operate up to 3.3 kW at 230 V AC; nevertheless, by decreasing the input voltage the output power also needs to be reduced due to thermal management. If the customer is willing to reduce the output power at lower input voltages it can lower costs significantly.
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Figure 28  Efficiency comparison between CoolMOS™ and CoolSiC™

The best calculated efficiency which can be achieved in a dual-boost PFC by CoolMOS™ is represented as a green line with a peak efficiency of 98.85 percent; nevertheless, this might result in an increased bill of materials (BOM) cost due to the use of a synchronous grid, additional magnetics and space restrictions. It would be possible to further improve the efficiency using CoolMOS™ in a TCM totem pole PFC; this would result in much higher driving and controlling complexity, and once again a higher part count.

All restrictions on $V_{gs}$ and 80 percent de-rating on $V_{ds}$ are applicable for CoolSiC™, and the best measurement results in this design are obtained by the following external gate resistor selection.

Table 3  Used $R_{g,ext}$ values for the 3.3 kW CCM totem pole PFC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>$R_{g,ext}$ [Ω]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMZA65R048M1H</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMZA65R072M1H</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMZA65R107M1H</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to operate the IMZA65R107M1H at lower input voltages and benefit from lower costs in a CCM totem pole PFC it is necessary to reduce the output power based on the decreased input voltage. According to this measurement the mold compound temperature was kept constant at 80°C at 25°C ambient.
In order to verify that the junction temperature is not exceeding the limit in the datasheet at 60°C ambient the assumption based on simulations is taken that the junction in this system has around 20°C higher temperature than the mold compound. Under these conditions there is still enough safety margin. It can be clearly seen that it is possible to have full output power down to 220 V AC. Further increasing the input voltage leads to an output power de-rating down to 2.5 kW at 176 V AC input voltage.

5.3 3 kW LLC converter

Due to the described technology parameters CoolSiC™ is also a very good fit for resonant topologies like the LLC converter. The body diode behavior can improve the system stability under certain operating conditions in which a hard commutation can occur.

This test board is based on telecom requirements with an input voltage of 380 V DC and an output power of 3 kW at 54 V output voltage. For the measurements only one rail of the dual phase was chosen in order to see the differences on the primary-side MOSFETs while not depending on the synchronous rectification on the secondary stage.
Figure 30  Relative efficiency comparison with optimized body diode conduction time

This setup was introduced with CoolMOS™, and so the measurements can only show a comparison with maximum 14 V gate driving.

The diode conduction times of silicon carbide devices have been measured. From this, the diode losses have been calculated and implemented in the efficiency curves in order to get an estimation of the resulting efficiency in case optimized dead-times were used. This calculation has not been done for CoolMOS™ devices, since diode conduction time – due to non-optimal dead-times – is an almost-negligible contribution to the overall efficiency. With these considerations, CoolSiC™ can have around 0.5 percent higher efficiency, especially at light load.

The diode conduction time is very important. The following efficiency comparison shows the impact of CoolSiC™ forward voltage and an un-optimized dead-time setting. All devices present in this comparison have ZVS. The board has a minimum dead-time of 200 ns, so a full optimization per device is not possible.
This leads to long diode conduction times, which will in turn lead to lower efficiency. Therefore, customers need to take special care of the dead-time changes and be aware that plug-and-play is generally not recommended in any resonant topology.

Figure 31 Relative efficiency comparison with 200 ns body diode conduction time
6 Summary

In summary:

CoolMOS™:
- Cost-effective solution for SMPS up to 97 percent system efficiency
- Easy to use
- Most granular portfolio
- Proven quality

CoolSiC™:
- Cost-effective solution for SMPS greater than or equal to 97 percent system efficiency
- Easy to use, with recommended driving voltage of 18 V turn-on and 0 V turn-off
- Indicated for high-power applications
- Most suitable in any application where hard commutation on a conducting body diode is present or might occur
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IMPORTANT NOTICE

The information contained in this application note is given as a hint for the implementation of the product only and shall in no event be regarded as a description or warranty of a certain functionality, condition or quality of the product. Before implementation of the product, the recipient of this application note must verify any function and other technical information given herein in the real application. Infineon Technologies hereby disclaims any and all warranties and liabilities of any kind (including without limitation warranties of non-infringement of intellectual property rights of any third party) with respect to any and all information given in this application note.

The data contained in this document is exclusively intended for technically trained staff. It is the responsibility of customer’s technical departments to evaluate the suitability of the product for the intended application and the completeness of the product information given in this document with respect to such application.

For further information on the product, technology, delivery terms and conditions and prices please contact your nearest Infineon Technologies office (www.infineon.com).

WARNINGS

Due to technical requirements products may contain dangerous substances. For information on the types in question please contact your nearest Infineon Technologies office.

Except as otherwise explicitly approved by Infineon Technologies in a written document signed by authorized representatives of Infineon Technologies, Infineon Technologies’ products may not be used in any applications where a failure of the product or any consequences of the use thereof can reasonably be expected to result in personal injury.