

# Benefits of Register-Based Polling over Data Polling

## About this document

### Scope and purpose

This application note explores the benefits of moving to the new status register polling method instead of the data (DQ) polling method and discusses low-level driver software, which can greatly reduce the developer's flash driver development time.

### Intended audience

This application note is intended for customers using parallel NOR Flash devices from Infineon Technologies.

## Table of contents

<b>About this document</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Table of contents</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Abstract</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>2 Introduction</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>3 Status Register and DQ Polling Comparison</b> .....	<b>4</b>
3.1 DQ Polling.....	4
3.2 Status Register .....	4
3.3 No Transitional States with DQ Polling .....	5
3.4 Simpler Code .....	5
3.5 A Bit for All States .....	5
3.6 Status Available Anytime, Anywhere.....	6
3.7 Knowledge of Specific Operation Not Necessary.....	6
3.8 Easier Design and Verification .....	6
3.9 Seamless Migration Path .....	7
<b>4 Software Tools</b> .....	<b>8</b>
4.1 Low Level Driver (LLD).....	8
4.2 DQ Polling and Status Register Interface Layer .....	8
<b>5 Conclusion</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>Revision history</b> .....	<b>10</b>

---

## Abstract

### **1 Abstract**

Infineon is adopting a register-based status determination model for its memory devices instead of the data (DQ) polling method. This paper will explore the benefits of moving to the new status register polling. Furthermore, it will discuss low-level driver software, which can greatly reduce the developer's Flash driver development time. A software layer that interfaces between current DQ polling software and status register will also be discussed.

---

## Introduction

## 2 Introduction

Infineon Flash memories have traditionally used data (DQ) polling to determine the status of a program or erase operation. However, software developers have sometimes found this method difficult to implement and they would often use a timeout instead. To make status determination simpler, Infineon is migrating to a register-based method, in which developers can access a memory-mapped location to query status. This provides an easier, improved method that most developers are familiar with.

## Status Register and DQ Polling Comparison

### 3 Status Register and DQ Polling Comparison

In this section, we will show the weaknesses of the DQ polling method and detail how the status register method design addresses these problems.

#### 3.1 DQ Polling

In the DQ polling method certain bits maintain a fixed state and certain bits toggle (change value every read access). For example, during a program algorithm, DQ7 is set to the opposite value of the programmed DQ7 bit. DQ6 toggles. That is, DQ6 changes value every read access. [Table 1](#) shows the operation of each bit during erase and program algorithms.

**Table 1 DQ Polling**

Op.	DQ7	DQ6	DQ5	DQ4	DQ3	DQ2	DQ1	DQ0
Chip Erase	'1'	Toggle	'1' if the device times out before the erase algorithm is ended	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sector Erase	'1'	Toggle	'1' if the device times out before the erase finishes	NA	Sector Erase Timeout Indicator	Toggle	NA	NA
Program	-DQ[7]	Toggle	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA Buffer Aborted	NA

#### 3.2 Status Register

The status is an 8-bit register that indicates the status of the most recent program or erase operation at the sector of interest. The Status Register is accessed by writing a "Status Register Read" command and performing a read access. The status register is shown in [Table 2](#). Bit 7 indicates whether the algorithm is active or finished. Note that most of the rest of the bits have no meaning when bit 7 is '1'.

**Table 2 Status Register**

Bit 7	Bit 6	Bit 5	Bit 4	Bit 3	Bit 2	Bit 1	Bit 0
Prog/Erase	Erase Suspend	Erase	Program	Write Buffer Abort	Program Suspend	Sector Lock	Bank
0 – Device busy programming or erasing	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0 – Operation in Addressed Bank
							1 – Operation in Other Bank

## Status Register and DQ Polling Comparison

Bit 7	Bit 6	Bit 5	Bit 4	Bit 3	Bit 2	Bit 1	Bit 0
1 – Device Ready	0 – No Erase in Suspension	0 – Erase Successful	0 – Program Successful	0 – Write Buffer Successful	0 – No Program in Suspension	0 – Sector not Locked during operation	0 – No Active Program or Erase Operation
	1 – Erase in Suspension	1 – Erase Error	1 – Program Error	1 – Write Buffer Aborted due to Illegal Sequence	1 – Program in Suspension	1 – Sector Locked Error	1 – Invalid

### 3.3 No Transitional States with DQ Polling

With the DQ polling scheme, devices internally multiplex the data pins between the memory array and the DQ status. When an operation begins, the multiplexer switches the data pins to output the status, and they are switched back to read array mode after the operation completes. As the pins transition from status to read array mode, it is possible to actually have data and status mixed together at the output since the internal delay paths for the individual DQ bits are different. If a CPU read occurs during this transition, what will be read is a mix of status and data. This can lead software to conclude an error condition if the precaution is not taken to re-read the DQ bits to verify that the data pins actually became stable and the device returned to read array mode.

With the new register-based scheme, status information will be latched internally at appropriate times to ensure that only valid status is presented to the software. By design, this eliminates the chance of concluding the wrong status as compared to the DQ method.

The toggle method requires the system to do multiple reads for a comparison, and as stated above, extra reads are necessary to account for transitional states. Once a valid polling read is done, very often, the system must look at multiple bits to reach the correct conclusion about device state. For example, a write buffer abort error condition is indicated by the combination of the states of four bits: DQ7, DQ6, DQ2, and DQ1.

The use of interleaved memory configurations, where two devices are used to produce a wider data bus, further complicates status determination. Situations in which one device may stop toggling before the other have to be considered carefully.

### 3.4 Simpler Code

All of the factors involved with Data Polling lead to complicated algorithms to determine status with DQ polling. The status register makes determining status much simpler. The system simply sends a status read command followed by a read access. Multiple reads to determine status are no longer necessary, neither are complicated algorithms required to detect interleaved toggling.

To illustrate the complexity of implementing the DQ polling algorithm, consider the case of the low-level driver, where the code to determine the status with the DQ method took as much as forty lines of complicated logic. With the status register method, implementing the same function takes seven lines of much simpler logic.

### 3.5 A Bit for All States

There is no way to tell the status of a device when it is program suspended using the traditional DQ polling method. In fact, when a device is program suspended, reading from any location on the device, including the

## Status Register and DQ Polling Comparison

suspended bank or sector, returns read array data since there is no toggling of any of the DQ bits. This inadequacy of DQ polling status can pose serious problems for systems that have to rely on suspending program operations to service interrupts. One work around that has been used in drivers is to use global variables to track the device status, but this has the potential problem of creating a mismatch between the state of the global variable and the true state of the device.

The status register design, however, has a bit dedicated to all the (external) states that device can be in, including program suspend. Therefore, the developer can simply query the register to see if the device is program suspended and the problems described above do not apply.

### 3.6 Status Available Anytime, Anywhere

Another drawback of the combination of data polling and toggling design is that the device status is not retained when an embedded operation completes since the devices automatically revert to read array mode. The software must assume that the operation was successful. In addition, it has to also know the address of the bank or sector in which the operation is ongoing to poll for status. Checking the status in a different bank would simply return read array data, giving the requestor no clue that there was actually an operation going on in some other bank.

When two executables are running, this becomes a potentially dangerous situation because one executable may try to start a second disallowed embedded operation, since it has no way of knowing that the device is actually busy somewhere else.

These time and spatial ambiguities are eliminated with the status register design since checking status is neither time dependent nor is it tied solely to a specific address region. One can query the device at any time to get the status at that given time. The system can also query it at any location within the device and expect to get the correct status of that address.

Consider the example of a dual bank device in which Bank A is erase suspended and a task wants to initiate an erase in Bank B. When the task reads bank B with the toggling scheme, it will get read array data back, and get no indication that the device is actually erase suspended in the other bank. With this misinformation, the task can mistakenly start a second erase operation in Bank B, which would be disastrous. If the status register method is used, reading status from Bank B would indicate that the device is erase suspended elsewhere. The task could then resume the operation in Bank A, wait for it to complete, and then come back to Bank B to initiate the erase.

### 3.7 Knowledge of Specific Operation Not Necessary

Another drawback of the toggling method is that in some cases, the developer has to know beforehand what embedded operation he is polling status for. This is because some DQ bits can have different or no meaning, depending on the type of operation. For example, the DQ1 bit has a different meaning when a write buffer operation is taking place than when an erase operation is going on. This makes it difficult to asynchronously check for status in software, when the embedded operation commands are not immediately followed by polling software.

This ambiguity is removed with the status register design, because querying it will simply return the current status of the device at that time. Each bit in the status register also indicates the same meaning regardless of when it is queried.

### 3.8 Easier Design and Verification

The DQ polling method, in which toggling is the fundamental method of determining if an embedded operation is ongoing, is complicated and itself prone to errors. In the past, there were cases where the toggle method has caused software to reach the wrong conclusion about status. Because of an error in design coupled to toggling,

---

## Status Register and DQ Polling Comparison

XIP reads to a different bank, sandwiched between polling reads to the busy bank, were actually causing the device state machine to toggle internally. As two successive polling reads to the busy bank did not show any toggling, the software concluded that an operation had already completed, when in fact the device was busy.

Because of the complicated design, its verification has also been arduous. Thanks to the simple register based design, verification and final test times of products will also decrease, leading to lower costs and more robust products.

### 3.9 Seamless Migration Path

Transitioning to register-based polling also assures a seamless migration path to Infineon revolutionary MirrorBit™ Eclipse™ architecture based devices that promise lower costs and superior performance. Infineon devices at 45 nm will be based on this architecture that features only status registers, and no DQ polling.

---

## Software Tools

### 4 Software Tools

Infineon provides a variety of software tools to aid the customer in integrating Flash memory into their products. Among them are the Low Level Driver (LLD) and a software interface layer, as discussed below.

#### 4.1 Low Level Driver (LLD)

The Low Level Driver (LLD) is a production-grade driver toolbox that manages command initiation and polling operations for the full range of Infineon memory devices featuring MirrorBit™ and floating gate memory technologies. The LLD exports an API that enables access to all the capabilities of the device. Among the API functions available are those that allow a developer to do write buffer programming, suspend/resume for program and erase, and advanced sector protection.

Infineon strongly recommends that customers use the LLD for their projects, as it provides a tested, proven interface. By abstracting all the details of command sequences and status queries, it enables developers to quickly integrate Infineon devices into their system, and focus on designing their application rather than spend time on learning details of Flash operation. Furthermore, developers can be assured of continued support through LLD updates if new features are added to any device.

#### 4.2 DQ Polling and Status Register Interface Layer

As described above, using the status register is the preferred method for querying the device for status. However, for developers that may not be able to change to the new register-based polling, Infineon can provide a layer that provides an interface between the status register and customer DQ polling based routines. This would enable customers to keep the DQ polling software intact by inserting this layer that would convert the DQ polling queries to status register commands and responses.

---

## Conclusion

### 5 Conclusion

Infineon is migrating to the new register-based model of determining status so that customers can enjoy a more reliable and simpler way of determining device status. The status register design addresses the key disadvantages of the DQ polling method. By using the LLD, customers can shorten their development times.

---

## Revision history

### Revision history

Document version	Date of release	Description of changes
**	2007-12-10	Initial version
*A	2015-11-10	Updated in template
*B	2017-08-08	Updated logo and Copyright
*C	2021-04-29	Updated to Infineon template

**Trademarks**

All referenced product or service names and trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

**Edition 2021-04-29**

**Published by**

**Infineon Technologies AG**

**81726 Munich, Germany**

**© 2021 Infineon Technologies AG.**

**All Rights Reserved.**

**Do you have a question about this document?**

**Go to [www.cypress.com/support](http://www.cypress.com/support)**

**Document reference**

**002-01783 Rev. \*C**

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

The information contained in this application note is given as a hint for the implementation of the product only and shall in no event be regarded as a description or warranty of a certain functionality, condition or quality of the product. Before implementation of the product, the recipient of this application note must verify any function and other technical information given herein in the real application. Infineon Technologies hereby disclaims any and all warranties and liabilities of any kind (including without limitation warranties of non-infringement of intellectual property rights of any third party) with respect to any and all information given in this application note.

The data contained in this document is exclusively intended for technically trained staff. It is the responsibility of customer's technical departments to evaluate the suitability of the product for the intended application and the completeness of the product information given in this document with respect to such application.

For further information on the product, technology, delivery terms and conditions and prices please contact your nearest Infineon Technologies office ([www.infineon.com](http://www.infineon.com)).

**WARNINGS**

Due to technical requirements products may contain dangerous substances. For information on the types in question please contact your nearest Infineon Technologies office.

Except as otherwise explicitly approved by Infineon Technologies in a written document signed by authorized representatives of Infineon Technologies, Infineon Technologies' products may not be used in any applications where a failure of the product or any consequences of the use thereof can reasonably be expected to result in personal injury.