Reliability and qualification of high-voltage CoolGaN™ GIT HEMTs

How CoolGaN™ technology & devices can exceed standard lifetime requirements by a wide margin

Abstract

Drawing on Infineon’s expertise in power electronics and extensive portfolio of wide bandgap (WBG)-related IP, the high voltage (> 600 V) CoolGaN™ gallium nitride on silicon (GaN-on-Si) high electron mobility transistors (HEMTs) represent a major engineering advance. These highly robust and reliable devices dramatically improve the figure of merit (FoM) of the power conversion switching device to be realized. They deliver outstanding system performance – enabling elevated levels of efficiency and industry-leading power density, plus reduced overall system cost.

However, as with any new technology, it is critical to ensure that thorough technology development and product qualification procedures are followed to assure reliable operation that meets design lifetime and quality requirements in power conversion systems. GaN device materials and device designs are very different from their silicon counterparts. These differences are substantial enough to warrant analyzing how they will be used in an application and determining what new changes must be introduced to the development and reliability qualification processes.

The following whitepaper describes the comprehensive four-part process that Infineon has used to successfully qualify CoolGaN™ gate injection transistor (GIT) HEMT 600 V technology and the products derived from it. Key failure mechanisms are described, and the means to ensure safe and reliable operation in a wide variety of applications are provided. This approach provides a safe path for using CoolGaN™ technology, thereby helping our customers avoid many of the risks they would otherwise encounter. At the same time, this publication acts as a tutorial for engineers interested in gaining a better understanding of semiconductor reliability concepts generally.
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1 Background: Why we can’t use silicon qualification processes on GaN technology

1.1 50 years of silicon experience

Silicon semiconductor device failure modes and reliability have been active research and development topics for over 50 years. Iteration after iteration, generation after silicon generation, relevant failure modes such as time-dependent dielectric breakdown (TDDB) and humidity-driven corrosion have been discovered and solved. Sometimes they were revisited and solved again as materials and device development have approached the physical limits in which Moore’s Law will be applicable.

1.2 Silicon failure mechanisms

The models/equations shown in Table 1 have proven helpful in predicting silicon devices’ failures under targeted application conditions. They include TDDB (which occurs in silicon device gate oxide), fatigue behavior, electromigration (due to high current density in conductors), and corrosion (due to humidity and bias). Though many or most of these also apply to GaN devices, this cannot be considered a sufficiently comprehensive list when qualifying GaN devices.

Table 1 List of silicon material/device failure mechanisms [1] and models developed over 50 years to describe its silicon behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure mechanism</th>
<th>TF model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electromigration</td>
<td>$TF = A_o J^N \exp(Q/K_B T)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress migration</td>
<td>$TF = A_o (T_0 - T)^N \exp(Q/K_B T)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrosion</td>
<td>$TF = A_o (%RH)^N \exp(Q/K_B T)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| TDDB                              | $\begin{array}{c}
E \text{ model} \\
1/E \text{ model}
\end{array}$
|                                  | $\begin{array}{c}
TF = A_o \exp(-\gamma E_{ox}) \exp(Q/K_B T) \\
TF = A_o \exp(G/E_{ox}) \exp(Q/K_B T)
\end{array}$|
| Fatigue                           | $TF = A_o (\Delta T - T_0)^N$                |
| Surface inversion/mobile ions     | $TF = A_o J_{ion}^{-1} \exp(Q/K_B T)$         |
| Hot carrier injection             | $TF = A_o (I_{sub} / W)^N \exp(Q/K_B T)$     |

1.3 Silicon qualification standards

Based on knowledge of silicon device failure mechanisms, qualification procedures such as the one shown in Table 2 have been published by the Joint Electron Device Engineering Council (JEDEC) and other institutes (e.g., automotive qualification standard AEC-Q101 from the Automotive Electronics Council). These procedures define the tests, stresses, durations, and sample sizes used to qualify silicon power semiconductor products. The choice of qualification test stresses and conditions is often based on the underlying knowledge and models of silicon device failure mechanisms. Although JEDEC qualification is the industry standard, there are better ways to qualify GaN power semiconductor devices to assure reliable operation in real applications. In the following sections, we will discuss these in detail.
### 1.4 The need for a new qualification concept for GaN devices

The need for a distinct qualification approach is rooted in differences in structures between silicon and GaN devices. A cross-section of a typical CoolMOS™ Superjunction (SJ) silicon power transistor is shown in Figure 1. The source, gate, and drain contacts are identified. In normal operation, during the “on” condition, a sufficiently high voltage is applied across the gate dielectric (2), which causes inversion of the channel conductivity from p-type to n-type and the electrons flow from source to drain in the vertical direction (as shown by the red line and arrow).

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1** Cross-section of a unit cell of a typical SJ power transistor – such as CoolMOS™

### Table 2 A typical list of tests used in JEDEC [2] qualification for silicon technology and devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature cycling JESD22 A104</td>
<td>With PC -55°C/+150 °C</td>
<td>1000x</td>
<td>3 lots x 77 pieces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High temperature reverse bias JESD22 A-108</td>
<td>With PC 150°C/600 V</td>
<td>1000 h</td>
<td>3 lots x 77 pieces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High temperature storage live JESD22 A-103</td>
<td>With PC 150°C</td>
<td>1000 h</td>
<td>3 lots x 45 pieces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive high temperature gate stress JESD22 A-108</td>
<td>With PC 150°C/50 mA</td>
<td>1000 h</td>
<td>3 lots x 77 pieces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative high temperature gate stress JESD22 A-108</td>
<td>With PC 150°C/-10 V</td>
<td>1000 h</td>
<td>3 lots x 77 pieces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High humidity temperature reverse bias JESD22 A-101</td>
<td>With PC 85°C/85% r.h./100 V</td>
<td>1000 h</td>
<td>3 lots x 77 pieces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent operational life test MIL-STD 750/Meth. 1037</td>
<td>With PC ΔT = 100 K</td>
<td>15,000x</td>
<td>3 lots x 77 pieces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD-HBM JS-001</td>
<td>Without PC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 lot x 3 pieces per voltage level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD-CDM JS-002</td>
<td>Without PC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 lot x 3 pieces per voltage level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The numbers identify key device features and correspond to the stress tests typically applied during device qualification.

1. The p-p body diode (and the edge of the die termination structure, not shown) is stressed during high temperature reverse bias (HTRB) testing.
2. Gate oxide dielectric ruggedness is tested during high temperature gate bias (HTGB) testing.
3. Device passivation and the mold compound are stressed during temperature, humidity, and bias (THB) testing.
4. Top aluminum and wire bonds are stressed during temperature cycling.

Compared to their vertically built silicon counterparts GaN HEMTs have a lateral device structure (see Figure 2).

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2  Schematic cross-section of a GaN HEMT showing lateral current flow and three surface terminals (silicon substrate is referenced to source)

The source, gate, and drain contacts are all on the surface (though the device’s backside is held at source potential). During the “on” condition, electrons flow from source to drain but, different from the SJ MOSFET, the flow happens laterally between the source and drain terminals on the surface. The device operation physics are also very different. In a GaN HEMT, the material system creates a thin, high-density layer of electrons known as a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) between AlGaN and GaN layers (as shown in Figure 2).

The 2DEG layer is interrupted by thinning the AlGaN beneath the gate, preventing 2DEG formation resulting in normally-off operation. Application of a sufficiently high positive gate-to-source voltage applies a vertical field which causes the 2DEG to re-form beneath the gate, thus completing a conduction layer of 2DEG for “on” operation. Unlike SJ MOSFETs, there is no p-n drain to source junction or an oxide dielectric material in the gate structure of a CoolGaN™ HEMT. The drain-to-source field terminates laterally and at many places across the surface of the GaN HEMT device, which increases the risk of a possible occurrence of humidity-induced corrosion compared to the SJ MOSFET, which only terminates source-to-drain potential along the device perimeter.

All these differences between silicon and GaN device structures and material systems must be considered when setting the qualification plan that will be applied to GaN HEMTs.
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2 A proposed four-part method for qualifying GaN devices

As explained above, silicon power device qualification standards are insufficient for qualifying GaN devices. A comprehensive and effective qualification plan will include elements that focus on the device-specific differences between GaN and silicon parts. The starting point is to understand the stresses applied in the intended application and consider the expected working lifetime and quality while ensuring device robustness. On this topic, Infineon has proven itself to be the acknowledged industry leader [3] – developing and following a four-part path to qualify its GaN devices (see Figure 3).

![Figure 3 Infineon’s comprehensive four-part qualification plan for its CoolGaN™ devices](image)

2.1 Application profile

The first of the four qualification elements is the application profile. Assuring reliable operation of GaN HEMTs to the planned quality level and lifespan requires close cooperation between Infineon and our customers. It all starts with describing the stresses from which a device can suffer during operation in a specific topology. A complete application profile also lists all relevant system-level requirements – such as output power profile vs. time, time at various temperatures and different relative humidities, plus hard- or soft-switching for a partial list [4]. The resultant stresses on these devices are described in great detail. For instructive purposes in this whitepaper, we will describe one typical application profile: a telecom rectifier (AC-DC) power converter.

Telecom rectifier (AC-DC) sockets have to deal with fairly stressful operating conditions. Such systems are required to operate for a long duration in the field with widely varying ambient temperatures and humidity that can reach relatively high levels. This application represents a good use case for GaN devices.
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device qualification, as operating conditions can reach extremes. This qualification approach can apply to similar applications (e.g., server, TV power supply) with the same power conversion topologies and less restrictive conditions.

Table 3 provides a high-level summary of a 2.5 kW telecom rectifier system and the related stresses seen by the power transistors in the power factor correction (PFC) section. Three temperature regimes are required to simulate a four-season operation. Four load conditions are described from standby to 80 to 100 percent load to cover all possible output conditions of the system. As some device reliability factors are temperature- and/or load-dependent, it is important to include these in the application profile.

Table 3 High-level summary of an application profile for a telecom AC-DC power converter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key device parameters, topology</th>
<th>Telecom rectifier AC-DC socket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5 kW, 230 V line, CCM hard-switching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected lifetime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating lifetime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative humidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Profile of ambient temperature (in the box)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of operating time at each temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15% at -27.5°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% at 15°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% at 72.5°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Profile of load conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of operating time at each load condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5% standby, no load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40% at 10 to 30% load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% at 30 to 80% load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% at 80 to 100% load</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Device electrical conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drain-source voltage (V_DS)</th>
<th>Average during off = 400 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak depends on I_LOAD (max. = 460 V)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| IGS+ | Average = 15 mA |
| Peak = 625 mA for 50 ns |

| V_DS | Average = -3 V |
| Peak = -10 V for 10 ns |

| I_D | Load current average 50% duty-cycle |
| Pulse-width modulation (PWM) frequency | 65 kHz |

Figure 4 shows the application profile and provides another level of detail to the operating conditions, including start-up conditions, frequency of switching, and single switch cycle timing of turn-on and turn-off (including duration of the L×di/dt induced voltage spike). For completeness, the temperature and load profile information is repeated. At the heart of the application profile is a table wherein the device stress modes (in particular voltage and current) are detailed as a function of temperature and humidity.
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An example is shown in Table 4. In this table, only the lowest temperature condition is shown, with the information for the other ambient temperature operating conditions, such as 25°C and 72°C, not included for greater clarity. However, these would be required for a complete reliability analysis. The corresponding time at each load condition is provided. In addition, for each load condition, average and peak voltage (during off-state) and current (during on-state) are described.¹

¹ This information will be used for later reliability modeling of the effects of time under DC bias and for switching safe operating area (SOA) lifetime.
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Table 4 Application profile of a telecom AC-DC power converter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ambient temperature</th>
<th>Load condition</th>
<th>On-/off-state [average/peak]</th>
<th>Total time duration in this condition [h]</th>
<th>Off: $V_{DS}$ [V]</th>
<th>Off: $V_{GS}$ [V]</th>
<th>On: $V_{DS}$ [V]</th>
<th>On $I_{G}$ [mA]</th>
<th>On $I_{LOAD}$</th>
<th>$P_{tot}$ [W]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-27.5°C 0.05</td>
<td>Standby</td>
<td>On-state average</td>
<td>4.93e+02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On-state peak</td>
<td>6.41e-01</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Off-state average</td>
<td>4.93e+02</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Off-state peak</td>
<td>6.41e-01</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 30%</td>
<td></td>
<td>On-state average</td>
<td>3.94e+03</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On-state peak</td>
<td>5.12e+00</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Off-state average</td>
<td>3.94e+03</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Off-state peak</td>
<td>5.12e+00</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 80%</td>
<td></td>
<td>On-state average</td>
<td>4.93e+03</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On-state peak</td>
<td>6.41e+00</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Off-state average</td>
<td>4.93e+03</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Off-state peak</td>
<td>6.41e+00</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 to 100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>On-state average</td>
<td>4.93e+02</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On-state peak</td>
<td>6.41e-01</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Off-state average</td>
<td>4.93e+02</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Off-state peak</td>
<td>6.41e-01</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The peak turn-off voltage increases for higher load conditions, as is observed in the application. As we explain later, the DC bias failure model depends quite strongly on voltage. Considering the application conditions, if there are substantial differences in the spike or average voltage ($V_{DS}$) applied to the device, it is important to capture this as well in the application profile.

2.2 Quality requirements profile

In the second element of qualification, we create the quality requirements profile. For this task, we need to collect the following:

- A general description of the application.
- Customer target lifetime (that can vary widely depending on the application).
- The maximum allowed cumulative failure rate.
- A definition of parametric drift limits to the required electrostatic discharge (ESD) rating.
- The operating humidity requirement.
- The moisture sensitivity level (MSL) rating.
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For the telecom rectifier market, we have required a 15-year lifetime with a failure in time (FIT) rate of 1 FIT (that equates to one failure per billion device-hours).

### 2.3 Reliability investigations during development

During the development of Infineon’s CoolGaN™ devices, we completed a thorough reliability investigation of GaN-specific failure modes. We have divided these failure modes into two specific categories: intrinsic failures (those due to inherent wear-out of device structure and materials) and extrinsic failures (those due to defectivity or very high process variability). During technology development, a path must be found to reduce defectivity and process variation to eliminate (or at least significantly reduce the occurrence of) extrinsic failures. The advanced process capabilities that Infineon has access to, plus the latest analysis tools, are very effective at mitigating such variations.

Early reliability investigations use tools such as a Weibull plot. This takes time to failure (TTF) data and graphs the fraction of a failed sample (y-axis) vs. time under stress (x-axis). For an illustrative and arbitrary applied stress, the Weibull plot in the left-hand image in Figure 5 shows failure in time data (time is shown on a logarithmic scale), where a fraction of the devices failed early on (circled group) and another fraction that failed much later. Each data point represents the time when a single device failure occurred (x-axis) and the cumulative fraction of the population failing before that device (y-axis). The subset of early-failing parts indicates a different failure mechanism (or mechanisms), typically due to either defectivity or wide process variation (or potentially a combination of both). We refer to these early failures as extrinsic because their source is external to the real capabilities of the design and materials.

The right-hand graph of Figure 5 shows data from a population that appears to include only intrinsic failures. Compared to the extrinsic failures identified on the left-hand graph, these devices fail very uniformly and later on. These devices live longer and behave and fail more uniformly (this is indicated by

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)
a higher slope and the points falling along a well-defined line). The failures, in this case, are considered to be intrinsic, caused typically by material wear-out.

The reliability investigations aim to discover the intrinsic and extrinsic failure mechanisms for each relevant stress and find a path via which process/design/test changes can be made. These changes will significantly reduce the occurrence of extrinsics. Then, with intrinsics now dominating the distribution, a degradation model can be generated to predict lifetime and failure rate. With larger sample size testing, the model can be extended to predict early life failure rates, including the low-level occurrence of extrinsics (see following sections).

2.4 Degradation models

The fourth dimension of qualification is composed of degradation models for key failure modes of GaN devices. These enable the prediction of failure rates under stated stress conditions and lifetimes. To better understand such models, we will review some first principles of reliability statistics and build upon the notion and role of the Weibull plot that was just introduced.

2.4.1 The reliability bathtub curve

A well-accepted notion is the reliability bathtub curve. Such a curve shows the instantaneous failure rate over the lifetime of any system or component. It typically identifies three failure regimes: the early life (or infant mortality), the random (or constant), and the wear-out failure rate regions. The blue curve in Figure 6 is the observed failure rate (equal to the sum of all three previously mentioned failure rates) and it has a bathtub shape. Referring back to the Weibull plot in section 2.3 (see Figure 5), the initially high early life failure rate is due to extrinsic failure mechanisms. The constant failure rate is due to random destructive events, such as cosmic rays.

For the use of GaN devices in terrestrial applications, we note that this failure rate is low enough that it can be discounted. The wear-out failure rate occurs when the inherent limits of the design and material system reach a destructive threshold. In silicon power FETs, this can include gate oxide failure due to well-understood TDDB dynamics. Other relevant wear-out mechanisms (common in both silicon and GaN devices) include die-attach solder and wire bond fatigue, as well as moisture-/humidity-induced corrosion. Later in this document, we will examine important failure modes that are specific to GaN devices.
2.4.2 The Weibull function and plot

We will now expand on the discussion of the Weibull plot from section 2.3. The plot assumes that failure data can be fit into a very flexible and useful fitting function known as the Weibull cumulative density function, which describes the cumulative failure rate:

$$F(t) = 1 - e^{-(t/\eta)^\beta}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 1}$$

This expression for cumulative failure rate can be used to model all three regions of the bathtub curve (separate constants $\beta$ and $\eta$ and $\gamma$ apply for each case). For the early failure regime, $\beta$ will have a value less than 1, while for the wear-out regime, $\beta$ is greater than 1 (as stated previously, we ignore the constant failure rate regime). On the Weibull plot (left-hand graph in Figure 5), the slope ($\beta$) is 0.34, indicating the presence of extrinsic/early-life failures. The slope ($\beta$) in the right-hand graph in Figure 5 is 7.5, consistent with wear-out/intrinsic failures.

To restate: during development, devices are stressed to failure, and failure modes are studied and parsed into extrinsic (early life) and intrinsic (wear-out), and a path is defined to reduce the occurrence and/or influence of extrinsic failures. This path can be a combination of changes in design, process, material, or screening stress tests. When the primary failures are due to intrinsics, then a wear-out model can be developed. For early life failure models (including effects of low level of extrinsics), larger sample sizes are required.
2.4.3 Wear-out model example

Once the extrinsic failures are reduced, a formula/model can be chosen to describe the failure of the intrinsics. For an illustrative example, see the plot in Figure 7.

![Figure 7 Plot of accelerated test to failure data consistent with the Arrhenius equation](image)

Each data point shown in this figure is the average of a population tested to failure under accelerated temperature conditions (so there are five different temperatures in this example). In this case, as the temperature increases, the time to failure is reduced (with axes in a logarithmic scale). This behavior is seen in many physical systems. In fact, silicon device gate oxides fail in this manner, which can be described by the Arrhenius equation as follows:

\[
AF(t) = e^{\frac{E_a}{k} \left( \frac{1}{T_{\text{use}}} - \frac{1}{T_{\text{stress}}} \right)}
\]

Equation 2

The characteristic activation energy \(E_a\) can be extracted from the slope of the plot as shown. The constant \(k\) is the familiar Boltzmann's constant. Taking one of the data points in the plot as a reference (with related temperature \(T_{\text{stress}}\) and its reference time to failure value) and a different specified use temperature \(T_{\text{use}}\), we can calculate an acceleration factor \(AF\). This can be multiplied with the reference time to failure value to calculate the average time to failure at the use temperature.

The Arrhenius equation is often used to describe the failure behavior of silicon device gate oxides under temperature and bias stress. In some instances, it is also applicable to GaN failure modes. Different failure mechanisms call for different solutions and hence equations, as discussed later in this whitepaper.
3 Key failure mechanisms and degradation models for GaN devices (different from silicon)

During the development process of our CoolGaN™ HEMTs, the Infineon team learned a lot about different failure mechanisms for GaN devices. This section discusses several of the most important ones that stand out, especially because they do not occur in silicon power FETs.

3.1 DC bias failure mode

The first one to examine is DC bias lifetime. That might seem surprising because silicon devices are also prone to DC bias failure; for that reason, high-temperature reverse bias (HTRB) testing is performed. Concerning failure modes under DC bias, the difference between silicon and GaN HEMT devices is that GaN HEMTs – when tested at accelerated voltage and temperature conditions – exhibit a failure rate that depends strongly on voltage. Let’s start first with the typical response of a GaN HEMT to V_DS stress.

In Figure 8, a cross-section of a test device rated at 200 V is shown on the left, while on the right, the related I, V_DS trace for the off-state is shown. The measurements were stopped at the breakdown. There are two relevant conduction regimes: an early conduction period (labeled as the 1st conduction mechanism) which is generally non-destructive, and a regime that occurs at higher voltage (labeled as the 2nd conduction mechanism). This second conduction mechanism regime has a much steeper slope than the first one and will lead to device destruction at a sufficiently high voltage. As will further be explained, this behavior is similar to what is observed for metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) material stacks commonly used in silicon power MOSFET gate structures.

Figure 8 Schematic representation of the device under test and drain-controlled vertical leakage current measurement [5]
Figure 9 shows the drain current response as drain voltage is ramped in 20 V steps and held for 120 s at each step.

![Graph showing drain current response](image)

**Figure 9** Drain current monitored using the step stress ($V_{CHUCK} = 0$ V; $V_D$ increases in 20 V steps) [5]

As drain voltage is ramped, the drain current increases in mini step bursts. These bursts become more frequent and larger in magnitude (so-called noisy) just before the devices fail. This behavior is similar to what is observed in silicon dioxide-based MOS structures as gate materials degrade due to TDDB. This is not surprising as the chemical bonds for both silicon oxides and GaN are covalent but highly polar in nature (see Figure 10). When subjected to an electric field, the polar molecules lead to asymmetrical lattice distortion straining their chemical bonds, which will break over time. The electronegativity of the silicon-silicon bond is zero, so although SiO$_2$ structures (including MOS device gates) are subject to TDDB failure, silicon-silicon structures such as p-n junctions are not. Despite TDDB occurring during reverse bias on GaN devices and not for silicon FETs, silicon devices do show TDDB with respect to their gate structure when SiO$_2$ or other polar molecules are used as insulating material.
3.2 DC bias degradation model: Weibull plots for the matrix of voltage and temperature

Returning now to the Weibull plot concept introduced in section 2.3, Figure 11 displays accelerated stress time to failure data with DC bias and temperature stress applied to samples of Infineon’s 190 mΩ CoolGaN™ e-mode GIT HEMT 600 V. A simulated application condition of 480 V and 125°C is considered with a target of a 15-year lifetime and a 1 FIT maximum failure rate.
Five different stress conditions were chosen, with voltage spanning 700 V, 750 V, and 800 V (far exceeding the specified device rating of 600 V) and temperatures covering the range of 100°C to 150°C (see Figure 11 for further details). It is characteristic of GaN HEMTs that they can withstand much higher voltages than their silicon counterparts, which go into avalanche breakdown abruptly at a voltage slightly higher than their rated value. The time to failure data is displayed on a Weibull plot with the time axis in logarithmic scale and the y-axis chosen as a measure of the cumulative fraction of failed devices from a linearized version of the Weibull equation (Equation 1).

It is evident that all groups have a slope, $\beta$ greater than 1, reflecting intrinsic failure. The data fit well with a model which illustrates temperature and voltage behavior in the forms shown in Figure 12. The temperature acceleration behaves according to the previously introduced Arrhenius equation, while the Eyring (exponential) model best models the stress voltage dependence. As shown, the combined acceleration can be taken from the product of the individual voltage and temperature acceleration factors. From these factors, the failure curve can be estimated for user-specified combinations of voltage and temperature.

For example, applying the acceleration factor conditions of 480 V and 125°C results in a projection of the dashed line shown. Note the strong dependence of failure time on the bias; devices at 800 V and 125°C all fail in less than 30 hours, while devices at 480 V and 125°C are projected to fail at approximately 2 million hours. So although the GaN devices can non-destructively withstand voltage stresses that are much higher than their silicon counterparts, their lifespan at such high voltages is limited. The model shows an operating life greater than three times the target. The green star indicates the typical qualification time and failure fraction detectable with existing silicon qualification methods - they are insufficient to predict useful life. The blue star indicates the target lifetime of 15 years at 100 parts per million (ppm).

![Figure 12 Model equations for temperature (Arrhenius) and voltage (Eyring) acceleration factors and combined acceleration](image)

### 3.2.1 What is the avalanche capability of GaN, and how does it compare to silicon?

GaN devices can withstand higher reverse voltage stress than equivalently rated silicon MOSFETs. A visual comparison of the drain current vs. drain voltage curve for each device is shown in Figure 13.
3.2.2 Transient voltage and switching rating for CoolGaN™

The ability of Infineon CoolGaN™ devices to temporarily withstand higher-than-rated voltage spikes for a limited time enables robust operation during extreme operating events, such as a current or voltage surge condition. Accordingly, several useful transient voltage ratings are now provided on CoolMOS™ datasheets (see Table 4 for IGOT60R070D1). This means that Infineon can better support its customers with the information they need when looking to implement GaN-based power systems.

The drain-source destructive breakdown voltage, with the symbol $V_{DS,\text{bd}}$, provides a destructive limit well in excess of the nominal device rating ($V_{DS,\text{max}}$). $V_{DS,\text{bd}}$ is not a “design for” value; it only provides an indication of robustness. Devices should never see this value in operation.

The second transient rating, $V_{DS,\text{pulse}}$, is a maximum drain-source (static) value that the device can withstand for a cumulative maximum of just one hour without significantly degrading its operational lifespan. The third transient voltage value, $V_{DS,\text{surge}}$ provides a window of allowable switching operation.
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during extreme conditions such as lightning surge testing (e.g., as per the IEC-61000-4-5 standard). Devices are rated to operate switching at a maximum DC bus voltage of 700 V (750 V peak) at a current of 27 A maximum and a 105°C maximum temperature for 10 million cumulative pulses. With these transient ratings, surge test results have exceeded those obtained for MOSFETs whose avalanche behavior acts as a clamped short at extreme stress.

Table 5 Maximum ratings at $T_J = 25^\circ$C, unless otherwise specified; continuous application of maximum ratings can deteriorate transistor lifetime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Note/Test Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drain-source voltage, continuous</td>
<td>$V_{DS,\text{max}}$</td>
<td>– – 600</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>$V_{GS} = 0$ V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain-source destructive breakdown voltage</td>
<td>$V_{DS,\text{bd}}$</td>
<td>800 – –</td>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{GS} = 0$ V, $I_{DS} = 12.2$ mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain-source voltage, pulsed</td>
<td>$V_{DS,\text{pulse}}$</td>
<td>– – 750</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>$V_{GS} = 0$ V, no switching current, ≤1 hour of total time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard-switching surge voltage, pulsed</td>
<td>$V_{DS,\text{surge}}$</td>
<td>– – 750</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>DC bus voltage = 700 V; turn-off $V_{DS,\text{pulse}} = 750$ V; turn-on $I_{DS,\text{pulse}} = 27$ A; $T_J = 105^\circ$C; f ≤ 100 kHz, $t ≤ 100$ s (10 million pulses)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Switching SOA failure mode and model

A second key new degradation mechanism for GaN devices is the safe operating area (SOA) switching - also known as dynamic high-temperature operating life (DHTOL). Along with other semiconductor manufacturers, Infineon has published long-term application switching data, showing stable device operation (measured as steady case temperature) in hard-switching (boost) applications over periods from 1,000 to 3,000 hours (Figure 14 below).

Figure 14 Eleven devices tested in hard-switched boost application to 3,000 hours with no failure and steady power dissipation

3,000 or even 10,000 hours (corresponding to 18 or 60 weeks) of a typical application is obviously not enough to draw conclusions for the entire intended design lifetime, which typically spans years.
Therefore, Infineon set up a test platform that allows accelerated testing at higher-than-designed voltage and current levels to investigate if the reliability of long-term switching operation can be better predicted.

Figure 15 below shows a schematic of this test circuit. The device damage in the test circuit was limited to allow failure analysis of devices that destruct under test. This circuit operates devices in a hard-switching boost configuration, which might be experienced in a PFC or other application circuits.

The Infineon test platform allows testing at accelerated bus voltage up to 700 V (compared to a typical use condition of 420 V) and at higher-than-rated device currents. Above a certain threshold of peak I-V conditions in the testing of samples under accelerated hard-switching, device failure did occur over time. A model was extracted that allows failure rate prediction as a function of current, voltage, and frequency. Additional accelerated testing was performed with CoolGaN™ HEMTs also in a soft-switching operating condition - such as routinely occurs in DC-DC converters with an LLC architecture, as well as with other topologies. In this case, no failures were observed at and beyond those operating conditions in which failures had occurred during testing under accelerated hard-switching. It shows that the switching trajectory or locus is very important with respect to possible failure during dynamic device operation (see Figure 16).
Rossetto et al. [7] made a study that shines a light on the difference between hard- and soft-switching behavior. In this study, a special evaluation test rig was introduced, which allowed for adjusting the current and voltage overlap that occurs during hard-switching while also allowing dynamic on-state resistance ($R_{\text{DS(on)}}$) measurement. Both soft and hard switching could be performed on the same test stand. Additionally, special test device structures (e.g., HEMTs) were used, which allowed taking electroluminescence (EL) measurements simultaneously with the switching event. Electroluminescence has been established as a means of detecting hot electrons in GaN HEMT devices [8]. The degree of overlap of the I and V during switching was controlled by varying the drain and the gate overlap. The drain gate delay (DGD) is a measure of the overlap where more negative values correspond to ‘harder’ switching while zero overlap corresponds to soft-switching. All measurements were taken during turn-off. The results show a strong correlation between hard-switching (thus more negative DGD) and both hot electrons (indicated by higher EL count) and dynamic $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ (depicted in Figure 17). Thus, hot electrons may be associated with both dynamic $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ and device failure during hard-switching.

![Figure 17](image)

**Figure 17** Experimental switching setup that allows operating in hard-switching (DGD < 0) to soft-switching (DGD tends toward zero) shows the correlation between EL, dynamic $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$, and degree of hard-switching [7]

### 3.4 Dynamic on-state resistance

Another failure mechanism that applies to GaN devices but not silicon is dynamic $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ (Figure 18). During the off-state, the device has high voltage applied between drain and source. This plot shows the output characteristic (I-V) for the initial (pre-stress) condition in solid blue. The tangent or slope of this curve shown in the dashed blue line corresponds to the initial $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$ value. Also, the post-stress value of the same is shown (in pink). The stress applied between initial and post measurements is DC bias. Post-stress, the output curve changes slope (corresponding to increased $R_{\text{DS(on)}}$), and the peak output current reduces.
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This happens because there are lateral (between surface drain and gate) and vertical (between surface drain connections and the silicon substrate at source potential) electric field components. The 2DEG on the drain side sits at high potential, and its electrons can be attracted to and (transiently) trapped by positive charges either in the GaN bulk (substrate side) or in the surface layers above the AlGaN. During post-stress measurement, when the device first turns on and the high drain-to-source voltage collapses, these charges remain briefly trapped and are unavailable to carry current. The result is a temporary (dynamic) increase in $R_{DS(on)}$. As the traps release the charges (since there is no longer a high field to hold them), the 2DEG is fully repopulated, and the $R_{DS(on)}$ recovers to its pre-stress value.

Through careful attention to the design of the device, as well as the heteroepitaxial layer structure and materials, Infineon CoolGaN™ devices exhibit very low dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$. Dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$ can be a strong function of the voltage ($V_{DS}$) applied. Figure 19 shows variation in dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$ (as measured at 20 A under hard-switching conditions) with voltage. Infineon’s CoolGaN™ product varies very little even out to the rated voltage of 600 V. At the same time, the competitor devices show a strong increase in dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$ even above 400 V. As dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$ is a transient phenomenon, a lower delay offers a more sensitive measurement; in this case, the delay time between voltage application and measured $R_{DS(on)}$ was set at 700 ns.²

² However some competitors have published values as high as 2.5 μs, the test measurement complexity poses challenges here [9]. Infineon continues to further develop the measurement capability and will publish updates over time.
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Figure 19 Dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$ as a function of drain voltage for Infineon and competitor ‘X’ devices (with testing performed under hard-switching conditions at 20 A) [Note that some suppliers publish data taken at soft-switching conditions, which is not as stressful on devices.]

Stable dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$ is an important criterion for reliable operation in application circuits. As previously discussed, there appears to be a correlation between high dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$ and the repetitive switching SOA-related failure mechanism that we have just studied. Some suppliers may point out that their device is suitable for operation because it may have low or modest dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$ at or below application stress voltage (e.g., as for competitor ‘X’ in our example). However, the occurrence of dynamic $R_{DS(on)}$ as measured at voltages above the applied voltage (in application) may indicate a repetitive switching SOA failure mechanism that results in a higher failure rate and lower lifetime than expected.
4 How to ensure reliable operation of GaN devices in an application - predicting failure rate

This section will discuss how to apply previously described models to real applications. Although Infineon has developed four GaN degradation models, we focus here on the two considered to be most important: DC bias and switching SOA. By returning to the application profile, we use these two models as tools to answer the question: how can we be sure that GaN devices will operate to the desired lifetime and quality level?

4.1 Example of telecom AC-DC system application profile

Here we refer to Table 3 and Table 4, as well as Figure 4, taken from the application profile for a 2.5 kW AC-DC power converter for use in telecom systems.

The PFC uses a CCM totem pole hard-switching configuration running at 65 kHz. The DC-DC section uses an LLC (soft-switching) topology. As the telecom system can be installed in potentially extreme environments, a wide temperature range is required (ambient temperature varies between -27.5°C and 72.5°C in the box/cabinet of the system) with the fraction of operating time at each temperature as given. The average voltage output of the PFC is 400 V with a turn-off spike/peak between 410 V at standby and 460 V at the 80-100% output power condition. The duty cycle is given. The system has 100% operating time with a 15-year lifetime but operates between standby and 80-100% load with a given load profile. The load current varies between 1.5 A at standby and 18 A at 80-100% load condition.

Not given in either Table 3 or Table 4 or in Figure 4 is a customer input from the quality requirements profile that the target cumulative failure rate is 1 FIT over 15 years.

4.2 Running the DC bias degradation model for telecom AC-DC

The telecom rectifier is composed of a PFC section and a DC-DC section. We look first at applying the DC bias lifetime to the CCM totem-pole PFC.

From the application, we know that the target lifetime is 15 years and the duty cycle of the switch in the PFC is 50 percent. This corresponds to a total bias time of 7.5 years (or approximately 65,000 hours). But this must be broken down to total time at each voltage (average of 400 V or peak V at other conditions) and temperature. Accordingly, Infineon’s model includes a simple worksheet that allows such inputs, as seen in Table 6. Then, for a representative reference condition, the acceleration factors and equivalent hours for each line item are calculated based on the Arrhenius (temperature) and Eyring

---

3 Infineon has also developed gate degradation and temperature/humidity/bias models, but these are considered to demonstrate robust operation across a wide range of operation.
(voltage) models described in Figure 12. For a target lifetime, the cumulative failure rate can be estimated. From the telecom rectifier application profile inputs, a value of less than 1 FIT is calculated. Note that this degradation model assumes intrinsic failures only. Process variation and defectivity may enable extrinsic failures to occur with a higher failure rate than calculated using the intrinsic model.

**Table 6** Bias/time data from the application profile reused as inputs to the DC bias degradation lifetime model to estimate a cumulative failure rate of less than 1 FIT in the telecom rectifier application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Total OFF time at average V_OFF</td>
<td>6.57e+02</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total OFF time at peak V_OFF</td>
<td>1.05e+00</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Total OFF time at average V_OFF</td>
<td>5.10e+04</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Total OFF time at peak V_OFF</td>
<td>8.30e+01</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Total OFF time at average V_OFF</td>
<td>1.31e+04</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Total OFF time at peak V_OFF</td>
<td>2.10e+01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>64955 h = 7.5 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Failure rate predictions:** FR < 1 FIT (dpm/1000 h), MTTF: 2.31e+11 h, 26325878 a

### 4.3 Checking the telecom AC-DC application against the switching SOA (DHTOL) model and rating

Based on Infineon’s DHTOL/dynamic switching degradation model, repetitive SOA (switching) curves are provided in the CoolGaN™ datasheets. Figure 20 shows the curves for the case of part number IGT60R070D1 – which is a 70 mΩ, 600 V-rated GaN device.
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Figure 20  Repetitive safe operation area curves depict the allowable I-V operating regime of CoolGaN™ to avoid failure due to dynamic switching

The flat portion of each curve is limited by the pulsed current rating of the device. Each curve changes slope at a specific I-V point where peak current is allowable and then decreases as voltage increases. This region of decreasing current is based on Infineon’s DHTOL/dynamic switching model. It ensures that the device does not operate in a region where it is sensitive to that dynamic switching failure mechanism. The value of current provided in the curves includes dynamic capacitive current associated with charging the PCB parasitic switch node (midpoint between the two transistors). This value is not measurable external to the device but can be modeled. For ease of use, a simplified but conservative estimate of 18 A is employed to represent this charging current. This value is generated from Infineon models based on an assumed worst-case value of 230 pF for the switch node parasitic capacitance, which should be easily achieved following sound layout practices.

Following this estimation method, the current values provided in the curves in Figure 20 can be interpreted to represent peak application current together with 18 A for parasitic charging. For example, a hard-switched application’s peak current value of 17 A is allowable at 400 V and $T_{\text{case}} = 125^\circ\text{C}$. For a boost stage like in a PFC, the turn-on of the low-side generates the most stressful hard-switching conditions for the switch. Figure 21 shows an example waveform during turn-on of the low-side as measured through the inductor $I_L$ but also through a shunt resistor (solid blue trace). Though the inductor current ($I_L$) varies little, the current through the shunt clearly shows the effect of device and switch node charging – with an application current of 17 A and a peak charging current spike of 18 A.
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Figure 21 Turn-on current (blue) and voltage (red) waveforms for low-side device in a totem pole boost converter; the dashed line is current measured through the inductor

Details for this test case were as follows:

- DC\text{link} = 400 V
- f = 65 kHz
- I_{\text{input}} = 20 A (e.g., peak sine wave inductor current for a 2.5 kW PFC stage at low-line 180 V\text{rms})
- I_{\text{ripple}} = 6 A (= 30%)
- I_{\text{turn-on}} = 20 A - (6 A/2) = 17 A (half ripple subtracted for the low-side switch turn-on)
- C_{\text{par}} = 230 pF
- 2 \times C_{\text{OSS(tr)}} at 400 V = 205 pF (for the subject 70 m\text{\Omega} 600 V GaN device)
- \frac{dV}{dt} (turn-on) = 42 V/\text{ns}
- I_{\text{overshoot}} = (C_{\text{par}}+2 \times C_{\text{OSS(tr)}}) \times \frac{dV}{dt} (turn-on) = 18 A (for this case shown)

The calculated peak current is 35 A (17 A inductor current at turn-on with 18 A overshoot added) which is just within the curve of the recommended limits. For these conditions and assuming a 1 FIT allowed failure rate, the predicted lifetime is 15 years at continuous full-load operation. To check switching SOA for any (hard-switching) application, the repetitive switching SOA curves and C_{\text{OSS(tr)}} for the considered device should be used along with the values of duty cycle, load current variation from the application profile, and design-specific C_{\text{par}} (switch node parasitic capacitance) value.

Conditions for other applications may vary, and also the failure rate lifetime depends on both voltage and current (as the curve describes). Note that this failure mode applies to hard-switching topologies and has not been observed in soft-switching conditions. If your application requires conditions very different from those outlined above, it is recommended to contact your local Infineon applications support so that they
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can run a more detailed check based on the time and load profile of your application (e.g., short periods of overcurrent operation can be compensated by longer phases with partial load).
5 Industry standards for GaN device reliability and qualification

As detailed in this white paper, Infineon follows a comprehensive qualification regime for its CoolGaN™ devices to assure reliable operation in customers’ applications. This reflects our ongoing commitment to encouraging the progression of wide bandgap technologies and providing all the support necessary to accompany them. Nevertheless, the importance for the industry to develop comprehensive standards for device qualification is unquestionable. Industry standards are set to ensure that any quality-related concerns can be addressed simply and quickly, without reference to the details of failure mechanisms for each supplier. Accordingly, Infineon has initiated and contributed to establishing a GaN-focused subcommittee (JC-70.1) within JEDEC [10] to introduce qualification guidelines and standards regarding GaN devices. In this JEDEC effort, Infineon supports the views and approaches described in this publication.


4 This initiative is ongoing and will follow a multi-step process over time to publish first guidelines and later standards.
6 Summary of GaN reliability and qualification

Section 1 pointed out the limitations and risks of applying existing silicon-based JEDEC qualification standards to GaN devices. It was detailed that, compared to silicon power transistors, significant material and device design differences have implications for device failure. Thus, these must be considered for an appropriate GaN product and technology qualification regime. Key failure modes were described, and degradation models were developed.

The detailed contents of Infineon's GaN qualification program are summarized in the following subsections.

6.1 Traditional stress tests used for GaN product qualification

At the outset, we referenced existing silicon device qualification requirements and listed the nine basic JEDEC tests used for silicon part reliability testing. Although Infineon complies with all nine of these, one test, in particular, deserves mention for its demanding test conditions – namely the HTRB that is performed at 150°C with 600 V reverse bias applied. Given the high sensitivity to voltage acceleration behavior demonstrated in section 3.1, testing at 600 V corresponds to much higher stress than any test at 480 V of bias used by some competitors in their qualification processes.

6.2 Additional stress tests

In addition to the nine JEDEC tests, Infineon has performed 10 additional investigatory tests as part of technology qualification activities. These were performed in accelerated conditions to stress gate passivation and gate dynamic behavior. An accelerated humidity test was also performed, and behavior over a wide temperature range was examined.

As an additional risk reduction measure, Infineon also performed 11 different tests at two to five times the JEDEC specified duration to ensure that unexpected failure or drift behavior would not occur with longer test times.

6.3 Technology qualification: four models

As described in sections 3.2 and 3.3, Infineon has established degradation models for DC bias and switching SOA. These ensure that the related key failure mechanisms are managed and customer design lifetime and failure rate requirements are met. In addition, Infineon also has two other models which are not detailed in this publication because their use in the case of the subject example application is not necessary. These models are gate degradation and THB degradation.
## 6.4 Other applications

We have demonstrated how Infineon’s CoolGaN™ devices are expected to meet typical industry lifetime and quality (cumulative failure rate) targets when operated in a totem-pole, hard-switching PFC topology, according to the telecom rectifier application profile given in section 2.1. Of course, CoolGaN™ HEMTs fit in with many other applications and can also be used as a general switch.

Conditions and recommended steps for some other key applications/topologies are listed in Table 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended application</th>
<th>Topology</th>
<th>Conditions/recommended steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telecom AC-DC uncontrolled</strong></td>
<td>PFC: CCM totem pole, DC-DC: LLC</td>
<td>Assumes operating conditions as stated in the application profile included in this whitepaper. If operating in a high humidity environment, then it is recommended to request additional model data through the local Infineon applications support team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telecom AC-DC controlled</strong></td>
<td>PFC: CCM totem pole, DC-DC: LLC</td>
<td>Assumes operating conditions are no more stringent than those described in this whitepaper.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Datacenter, server</strong></td>
<td>PFC: CCM totem pole, DC-DC: LLC</td>
<td>Assumes operating conditions are no more stringent than those described in the application profile discussed in this whitepaper.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High-density universal charger/adapter</strong></td>
<td>ACF or other half-bridge based flyback circuit featuring a clamp to control voltage spike</td>
<td>If repetitive peak voltage exceeds 480 V, please request additional model data through the local Infineon applications support team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Universal charger/adapter</strong></td>
<td>Single switch-based flyback (quasi-resonant flyback)</td>
<td>Reflected voltage and leakage inductance lead to high nominal voltage and high spikes. Peak switch voltage should be kept below 600 V. Contact local Infineon applications support for customer-specific calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photovoltaic inverter</strong></td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Must fit within the switching SOA curve and also, the DC bias model must be run with inputs from customer-specific application profile. Recommended to run a THB model if operating in an extreme environment. Possibility of higher FIT rate. Request local Infineon applications support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motor control</strong></td>
<td>Hard-switched inverter</td>
<td>Must fit within the switching SOA curve and also, the DC bias model must be run with inputs from customer-specific application profile. Possibility of higher FIT rate. Request local Infineon applications support if needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wireless power</strong></td>
<td>Class E</td>
<td>High frequency but not hard-switched. Must respect peak voltage limits and DC-bias life model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audio</strong></td>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>Assumes hard-switching within the switching SOA curve boundaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DC-DC</strong></td>
<td>Phase-shifted full-bridge</td>
<td>Assumes switching SOA is within datasheet limits and peak voltage is less than 480 V.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not recommended application</th>
<th>Topology</th>
<th>Conditions/recommended steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linear switch</strong></td>
<td>Conduction occurs at high voltage</td>
<td>GaN is not recommended for linear loads. However, CoolGaN™ can survive SMPS pulses within the switching SOA limit of the switching SOA curve on a single or regular basis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The list provided here is not complete; should you have any further applications-/topology-related questions, please visit www.infineon.com/gan or contact Infineon’s local application support.
7 Conclusion

GaN devices can enable new system efficiency and power density levels while reducing overall system cost. This whitepaper has outlined the comprehensive and application-specific qualification process that Infineon followed in order to ensure that its CoolGaN™ devices meet the target lifetime and quality requirements needed to operate reliably in real applications.

Figure 22 associates the described qualification process of CoolGaN™ with the new GaN device materials and structures that were described at the outset of this document. Based on our test/qualification results, CoolGaN™ is ready to increase efficiency and density in a broad range of customer applications while also assuring long-term reliability. This is backed up by 24/7 multilingual support from Infineon’s highly experienced engineering team.

New reliability tests specific for p-GaN HEMT in combination with std JEDEC tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gate module/2DEG channel region</th>
<th>Device/dielectrics/metals/Ohmic contacts</th>
<th>Passivation/metallization</th>
<th>Buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soft- and hard-switching for dynamic R_{DS(on)} (charge trapping) + switching SOA</td>
<td>Life-time models and extrapolation to use conditions (drifts, TDBB, electron migration, etc.)</td>
<td>Temperature cycling</td>
<td>Soft- and hard-switching for dynamic R_{DS(on)} (charge trapping)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTGS (+ and –)</td>
<td></td>
<td>HAST (biased and unbiased)</td>
<td>TDDB (DC bias) and HTRB for vertical bias breakdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTGS (+ and –)</td>
<td></td>
<td>H3TRB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_H3TG5, N_H3TG5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Autoclave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate (electron migration)</td>
<td></td>
<td>High temperature storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTRB, H3TRB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent operating life test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 22 Schematic drawing describing key GaN HEMT features and the related test stress procedures (both traditional silicon tests and GaN-specific tests).

To find out more about Infineon’s CoolGaN™ portfolio of switches and dedicated EiceDRIVER™ for CoolGaN™ GIT HEMTs, please visit www.infineon.com/gan and www.infineon.com/gan-eicedriver
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Please note!
This Document is for information purposes only and any information given herein shall in no event be regarded as a warranty, guarantee or description of any functionality, conditions and/or quality of our products or any suitability for a particular purpose. With regard to the technical specifications of our products, we kindly ask you to refer to the relevant product data sheets provided by us. Our customers and their technical departments are required to evaluate the suitability of our products for the intended application.

We reserve the right to change this document and/or the information given herein at any time.

Additional Information
For further information on technologies, our products, the application of our products, delivery terms and conditions and/or prices please contact your nearest Infineon Technologies office (www.infineon.com).

Warnings
Due to technical requirements, our products may contain dangerous substances. For information on the types in question, please contact your nearest Infineon Technologies office.

Except as otherwise explicitly approved by us in a written document signed by authorized representatives of Infineon Technologies, our products may not be used in any life-endangering applications, including but not limited to medical, nuclear, military, life-critical or any other applications where a failure of the product or any consequences of the use thereof can result in personal injury.

Reliability and qualification of high-voltage CoolGaN™ GIT HEMTs
How CoolGaN™ technology & devices can exceed standard lifetime requirements by a wide margin